JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

# **RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS**

Adopted March 11, 2008

**UNITED STATES COURTS FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT** 

FIRST CIRCUIT LOCAL RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS

Effective June 1, 2009

#### **RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS**

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prefac	1	l
ARTIC	LE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS	
1.	Scope	2
2.	Effect and Construction	3
3.	Definitions       7         (a)       Chief Judge         (b)       Circuit Clerk         (c)       Complaint         (d)       Court of Appeals, District Court, and District Judge         (e)       Disability         (f)       Judicial Council and Circuit         (g)       Magistrate Judge         (h)       Misconduct         (i)       Subject Judge	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4
4.	Covered Judges	7
ARTIC	LE II. INITIATION OF A COMPLAINT	
5.	Identification of a Complaint(a)Identification(b)Noncompliance with Rule 6(d)	7
6.	Filing a Complaint9(a) Form9(b) Brief Statement of Facts9(c) Legibility9(d) Complainant's Address and Signature; Verification9(e) Number of Copies; Envelope Marking9	9999
7.	Where to Initiate Complaints9(a)Where to File(b)Misconduct in Another Circuit; Transfer	9
8.	Action by Clerk10(a) Receipt of Complaint10(b) Distribution of Copies11(c) Complaints Against Noncovered Persons11(d) Receipt of Complaint about a Judge and Another Noncovered Person11Local Rule 8. Action by Circuit Executive upon Receipt of a Complaint11	) 1 1 1

9.	Time f	or Filing or Identifying a Complaint	12
		of the Complaint Procedure	
	(a)	Abusive Complaints	12
		Orchestrated Complaints	

#### ARTICLE III. REVIEW OF A COMPLAINT BY THE CHIEF JUDGE

11.	Review	v by the Chief Judge
	(a)	Purpose of Chief Judge's Review
	(b)	Inquiry by Chief Judge
	(c)	Dismissal
	(d)	Corrective Action
	(e)	Intervening Events
	(f)	Appointment of Special Committee
	(g)	Notice of Chief Judge's Action; Petitions for Review
	(h)	Public Availability of Chief Judge's Decision

#### ARTICLE IV. INVESTIGATION AND REPORT BY SPECIAL COMMITTEE

12.	Comp	osition of Special Committee	19	
	(a)	Membership	19	
	(b)	Presiding Officer		
	(c)	Bankruptcy Judge or Magistrate Judge as Adviser	19	
	(d)	Provision of Documents		
	(e)	Continuing Qualification of Committee Members	19	
	(f)	Inability of Committee Member to Complete Service	19	
	(g)	Voting		
13.	Condu	ct of an Investigation	20	
	(a)	Extent and Methods of Special-Committee Investigation	20	
	(b)	Criminal Conduct		
	(c)	Staff		
	(d)	Delegation of Subpoena Power; Contempt	21	
14.	Conduct of Hearings by Special Committee			
	(a)	Purpose of Hearings		
	(b)	Committee Evidence		
	(c)	Counsel for Witnesses	22	
	(d)	Witness Fees		
	(e)	Oath	22	
	(f)	Rules of Evidence		
	(g)	Record and Transcript	22	
15.	Rights	of Subject Judge	22	
	(a)	Notice		
	(b)	Report of the Special Committee		
	(c)	Presentation of Evidence		
	(d)	Presentation of Argument		
	(e)	Attendance at Hearings		
		-		

	(f)	Representation by Counsel	. 23
16.	Rights (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	of Complainant in Investigation	. 23 . 23 . 23 . 23
17.	Specia	l-Committee Report	. 24
ARTIC	CLE V.	JUDICIAL-COUNCIL REVIEW	
18.	(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) <i>Local</i>	ns for Review of Chief Judge Dispositions Under Rule 11(c), (d), or (e) Petitions for Review When to File; Form; Where to File Receipt and Distribution of Petition Untimely Petition Timely Petition Not in Proper Form Rule 18. Petitions for Review of Chief Judge Dispositions under 1(c), (d) or (e)	. 25 . 25 . 25 . 25 . 25 . 25
19.	(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f)	Il-Council Disposition of Petitions for Review	. 27 . 27 . 27 . 27 . 27 . 27 . 27
20.	Specia (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f)	Il-Council Consideration of Reports and Recommendations of I Committees	. 29 . 29 . 30 . 30 . 30 . 30
	CLE VI. BILITY	REVIEW BY JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON CONDUCT AN	D
0.1	C		<b>•</b> •

21.	Comm	ittee on Judicial Conduct and Disability	ĺ
	(a)	Review by Committee	l
		Reviewable Matters	
	(c)	Committee Vote	)
	(d)	Additional Investigation	)
		Oral Argument; Personal Appearance	

	(f)	Committee Decisions
	(g)	Finality
22.	Proce	edures for Review
	(a)	Filing a Petition for Review
	(b)	Form and Contents of Petition for Review
	(c)	Time
	(d)	Copies
	(e)	Action on Receipt of Petition for Review

#### ARTICLE VII. MISCELLANEOUS RULES

23.		identiality
	(a)	General Rule
	(b)	Files
	(c)	Disclosure in Decisions
	(d)	Availability to Judicial Conference
	(e)	Availability to District Court
	(f)	Impeachment Proceedings
	(g)	Subject Judge's Consent
	(h)	Disclosure in Special Circumstances
	(i)	Disclosure of Identity by Subject Judge
	(j)	Assistance and Consultation
24.	Publi	ic Availability of Decisions
	(a)	General Rule; Specific Cases
	(b)	Manner of Making Public
	(c)	Orders of Judicial Conference Committee
	(d)	Complaints Referred to the Judicial Conference of the United States
25.	Disq	ualification
	(a) <sup>1</sup>	General Rule
	(b)	Subject Judge
	(c)	Chief Judge Not Disqualified from Considering a Petition for
		Review of a Chief Judge's Order
	(d)	Member of Special Committee Not Disqualified
	(e)	Subject Judge's Disqualification After Appointment of a Special Committee 39
	(f)	Substitute for Disqualified Chief Judge
	(g)	Judicial-Council Action When Multiple Judges Are Disqualified
	(h)	Disqualification of Members of the Judicial Conference Committee
26.	Trans	sfer to Another Judicial Council
27.	With	drawal of Complaints and Petitions for Review
	(a)	Complaint Pending Before Chief Judge
	(b)	Complaint Pending Before Special Committee or Judicial Council
	(c)	Petition for Review
28.	Avai	lability of Rules and Forms
29.	Effective Date	

#### **RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS** Preface These Rules were promulgated by the Judicial Conference of the United States, after public comment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 331 and 358, to establish standards and procedures for addressing complaints filed by complainants or identified by chief judges, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

#### **ARTICLE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS**

#### 1. Scope

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

These Rules govern proceedings under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364 (the Act), to determine whether a covered judge has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts or is unable to discharge the duties of office because of mental or physical disability.

Commentary on Rule 1

11 In September 2006, the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act Study Committee, appointed in 2004 by Chief Justice Rehnquist and known as the "Breyer Committee," presented a report, 12 known as the "Breyer Committee Report," 239 F.R.D. 116 (Sept. 2006), to Chief Justice Roberts 13 14 that evaluated implementation of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. 15 §§ 351-364. The Brever Committee had been formed in response to criticism from the public 16 and the Congress regarding the effectiveness of the Act's implementation. The Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference directed the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial 17 18 Conduct and Disability to consider the recommendations made by the Breyer Committee and to 19 report on their implementation to the Conference. 20

21 The Breyer Committee found that it could not evaluate implementation of the Act without 22 establishing interpretive standards, Brever Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 132, and that a 23 major problem faced by chief judges in implementing the Act was the lack of authoritative 24 interpretive standards. Id. at 212-15. The Breyer Committee then established standards to guide its evaluation, some of which were new formulations and some of which were taken from the 25 26 "Illustrative Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct and Disability," discussed 27 below. The principal standards used by the Breyer Committee are in Appendix E of its Report. 28 Id. at 238.

Based on the findings of the Breyer Committee, the Judicial Conference Committee on
 Judicial Conduct and Disability concluded that there was a need for the Judicial Conference to
 exercise its power under Section 358 of the Act to fashion standards guiding the various officers
 and bodies who must exercise responsibility under the Act. To that end, the Judicial Conference
 Committee proposed rules that were based largely on Appendix E of the Breyer Committee
 Report and the Illustrative Rules.

The Illustrative Rules were originally prepared in 1986 by the Special Committee of the Conference of Chief Judges of the United States Courts of Appeals, and were subsequently revised and amended, most recently in 2000, by the predecessor to the Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. The Illustrative Rules were adopted, with minor variations, by circuit judicial councils, to govern complaints under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act.

- After being submitted for public comment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 358(c), the present Rules were promulgated by the Judicial Conference on March 11, 2008.
- 45

43

44

29

- 46 47
- 48

(a)	ffect and Construction Generally. These Rules are mandatory; they supersede any conflicting judicial-
	council rules. Judicial councils may promulgate additional rules to implement the
	Act as long as those rules do not conflict with these Rules.
(b)	Exception. A Rule will not apply if, when performing duties authorized by the Act,
	a chief judge, a special committee, a judicial council, the Judicial Conference
	Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, or the Judicial Conference of the
	United States expressly finds that exceptional circumstances render application of that Rule in a particular proceeding manifestly unjust or contrary to the purposes
	of the Act or these Rules.
Com	mentary on Rule 2
	Unlike the Illustrative Rules, these Rules provide mandatory and nationally uniform
nrovi	sions governing the substantive and procedural aspects of misconduct and disability
	beedings under the Act. The mandatory nature of these Rules is authorized by 28 U.S.C.
	B(a) and (c). Judicial councils retain the power to promulgate rules consistent with these
	S. For example, a local rule may authorize the electronic distribution of materials pursuant
to Ru	le 8(b).
1:00	Rule 2(b) recognizes that unforeseen and exceptional circumstances may call for a
diffei	rent approach in particular cases.
3 Г	Definitions
(a)	Chief Judge. "Chief judge" means the chief judge of a United States Court of
(a)	Appeals, of the United States Court of International Trade, or of the United States
	Court of Federal Claims.
(b)	Circuit Clerk. "Circuit clerk" means a clerk of a United States court of appeals, the
	clerk of the United States Court of International Trade, the clerk of the United
	States Court of Federal Claims, or the circuit executive of the United States Court of
	Appeals for the Federal Circuit.
(c)	Complaint. A complaint is:
	(1) a document that, in accordance with Rule 6, is filed by any person in his or
	her individual capacity or on behalf of a professional organization; or (2) information from any source other than a decument described in $(a)(1)$ the
	(2) information from any source, other than a document described in (c)(1), that gives a chief judge probable cause to believe that a covered judge, as defined
	in Rule 4, has engaged in misconduct or may have a disability, whether or
	not the information is framed as or is intended to be an allegation of
	misconduct or disability.
(d)	Court of Appeals, District Court, and District Judge. "Courts of appeals," "distric
	court," and "district judge," where appropriate, include the United States Court of
	Federal Claims, the United States Court of International Trade, and the judges
()	thereof.
(e)	Disability. "Disability" is a temporary or permanent condition rendering a judge
	unable to discharge the duties of the particular judicial office. Examples of disability include substance abuse, the inability to stay awake during court
	UNADITE V INCLUDE SUDSTAILCE ADUSE. THE INVITIENT TO STAV AWAKE OUT IN COUT
	proceedings, or a severe impairment of cognitive abilities.

1	(f)	Judicial Council and Circuit. "Judicial council" and "circuit," where appropriate,
2	<i>(</i> )	include any courts designated in 28 U.S.C. § 363.
3	(g)	Magistrate Judge. "Magistrate judge," where appropriate, includes a special
4 5	~ <b>.</b> .	master appointed by the Court of Federal Claims under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa–12(c).
5	(h)	Misconduct. Cognizable misconduct:
6		(1) is conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the
7		business of the courts. Misconduct includes, but is not limited to:
8		(A) using the judge's office to obtain special treatment for friends or
9		relatives;
10		(B) accepting bribes, gifts, or other personal favors related to the judicial
11		office;
12		(C) having improper discussions with parties or counsel for one side in a
13		case;
14		(D) treating litigants or attorneys in a demonstrably egregious and hostile
15		manner;
16		(E) engaging in partisan political activity or making inappropriately
17		partisan statements;
18		(F) soliciting funds for organizations; or
19		(G) violating other specific, mandatory standards of judicial conduct,
20		such as those pertaining to restrictions on outside income and
21		requirements for financial disclosure.
22		(2) is conduct occurring outside the performance of official duties if the conduct
23		might have a prejudicial effect on the administration of the business of the
24		courts, including a substantial and widespread lowering of public confidence
25		in the courts among reasonable people.
26		(3) does not include:
27		(A) an allegation that is directly related to the merits of a decision or
28		procedural ruling. An allegation that calls into question the
29		correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse, without
30		more, is merits-related. If the decision or ruling is alleged to be the
31		result of an improper motive, e.g., a bribe, ex parte contact, racial or
32		ethnic bias, or improper conduct in rendering a decision or ruling,
33		such as personally derogatory remarks irrelevant to the issues, the
34		complaint is not cognizable to the extent that it attacks the merits.
35		(B) an allegation about delay in rendering a decision or ruling, unless the
36		allegation concerns an improper motive in delaying a particular
37		decision or habitual delay in a significant number of unrelated cases.
38	(i)	Subject Judge. "Subject judge" means any judge described in Rule 4 who is the
39		subject of a complaint.
40		
41		Commentary on Rule 3
42		•
43		Rule 3 is derived and adapted from the Breyer Committee Report and the Illustrative
44	Rules.	
45		
46		Unless otherwise specified or the context otherwise indicates, the term "complaint" is
47	used in	these Rules to refer both to complaints identified by a chief judge under Rule 5 and to
48		aints filed by complainants under Rule 6.
49	1	
50		Under the Act, a "complaint" may be filed by "any person" or "identified" by a chief
51	judge.	See 28 U.S.C. § $351(a)$ and (b). Under Rule $3(c)(1)$ , complaints may be submitted by a

52 person, in his or her individual capacity, or by a professional organization. Generally, the word

"complaint" brings to mind the commencement of an adversary proceeding in which the 1 2 contending parties are left to present the evidence and legal arguments, and judges play the role 3 of an essentially passive arbiter. The Act, however, establishes an administrative, inquisitorial 4 process. For example, even absent a complaint under Rule 6, chief judges are expected in some circumstances to trigger the process -- "identify a complaint," see 28 U.S.C. § 351(b) and Rule 5 5 -- and conduct an investigation without becoming a party. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(a); Breyer 6 7 Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 214; Illustrative Rule 2(j). Even when a complaint is filed by 8 someone other than the chief judge, the complainant lacks many rights that a litigant would have, 9 and the chief judge, instead of being limited to the "four corners of the complaint," must, under 10 Rule 11, proceed as though misconduct or disability has been alleged where the complainant reveals information of misconduct or disability but does not claim it as such. See Brever 11 12 Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 183-84. 13 14 An allegation of misconduct or disability filed under Rule 6 is a "complaint," and the 15 Rule so provides in subsection (c)(1). However, both the nature of the process and the use of the 16 term "identify" suggest that the word "complaint" covers more than a document formally 17 triggering the process. The process relies on chief judges considering known information and 18 triggering the process when appropriate. "Identifying" a "complaint," therefore, is best 19 understood as the chief judge's concluding that information known to the judge constitutes 20 probable cause to believe that misconduct occurred or a disability exists, whether or not the 21 information is framed as, or intended to be an accusation. This definition is codified in (c)(2). 22

Rule 3(e) relates to disability and provides only the most general definition, recognizing that a fact-specific approach is the only one available.

23

24

25

The phrase "prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts" is not subject to precise definition, and subsection (h)(1) therefore provides some specific examples. Although the Code of Conduct for United States Judges may be informative, its main precepts are highly general; the Code is in many potential applications aspirational rather than a set of disciplinary rules. Ultimately, the responsibility for determining what constitutes misconduct under the statute is the province of the judicial council of the circuit subject to such review and limitations as are ordained by the statute and by these Rules.

Even where specific, mandatory rules exist -- for example, governing the receipt of gifts by judges, outside earned income, and financial disclosure obligations -- the distinction between the misconduct statute and the specific, mandatory rules must be borne in mind. For example, an inadvertent, minor violation of any one of these Rules, promptly remedied when called to the attention of the judge, might still be a violation but might not rise to the level of misconduct under the statute. By contrast, a pattern of such violations of the Code might well rise to the level of misconduct.

An allegation can meet the statutory standard even though the judge's alleged conduct did
 not occur in the course of the performance of official duties. The Code of Conduct for United
 States Judges expressly covers a wide range of extra-official activities, and some of these
 activities may constitute misconduct. For example, allegations that a judge solicited funds for a
 charity or participated in a partisan political event are cognizable under the Act.

1 On the other hand, judges are entitled to some leeway in extra-official activities. For 2 example, misconduct may not include a judge being repeatedly and publicly discourteous to a 3 spouse (not including physical abuse) even though this might cause some reasonable people to 4 have diminished confidence in the courts. Rule 3(h)(2) states that conduct of this sort is covered, 5 for example, when it might lead to a "substantial and widespread" lowering of such confidence.

6

20

7 Rule 3(h)(3)(A) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the 8 definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial power 9 10 by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally attack the substance of a 11 judge's ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official action of a 12 judge -- without more -- is merits-related. The phrase "decision or procedural ruling" is not 13 limited to rulings issued in deciding Article III cases or controversies. Thus, a complaint 14 challenging the correctness of a chief judge's determination to dismiss a prior misconduct 15 complaint would be properly dismissed as merits-related -- in other words, as challenging the 16 substance of the judge's administrative determination to dismiss the complaint -- even though it 17 does not concern the judge's rulings in Article III litigation. Similarly, an allegation that a judge had incorrectly declined to approve a Criminal Justice Act voucher is merits-related under this 18 19 standard.

21 Conversely, an allegation -- however unsupported -- that a judge conspired with a 22 prosecutor to make a particular ruling is not merits-related, even though it "relates" to a ruling in 23 a colloquial sense. Such an allegation attacks the propriety of conspiring with the prosecutor and 24 goes beyond a challenge to the correctness -- "the merits" -- of the ruling itself. An allegation 25 that a judge ruled against the complainant because the complainant is a member of a particular racial or ethnic group, or because the judge dislikes the complainant personally, is also not 26 27 merits-related. Such an allegation attacks the propriety of arriving at rulings with an illicit or 28 improper motive. Similarly, an allegation that a judge used an inappropriate term to refer to a 29 class of people is not merits-related even if the judge used it on the bench or in an opinion; the 30 correctness of the judge's rulings is not at stake. An allegation that a judge treated litigants or 31 attorneys in a demonstrably egregious and hostile manner while on the bench is also not 32 merits-related. 33

34 The existence of an appellate remedy is usually irrelevant to whether an allegation is 35 merits-related. The merits-related ground for dismissal exists to protect judges' independence in 36 making rulings, not to protect or promote the appellate process. A complaint alleging an 37 incorrect ruling is merits-related even though the complainant has no recourse from that ruling. 38 By the same token, an allegation that is otherwise cognizable under the Act should not be 39 dismissed merely because an appellate remedy appears to exist (for example, vacating a ruling 40 that resulted from an improper ex parte communication). However, there may be occasions when appellate and misconduct proceedings overlap, and consideration and disposition of a complaint 41 under these Rules may be properly deferred by a chief judge until the appellate proceedings are 42 43 concluded in order to avoid, inter alia, inconsistent decisions. 44

45 Because of the special need to protect judges' independence in deciding what to say in an opinion or ruling, a somewhat different standard applies to determine the merits-relatedness of a 46 47 non-frivolous allegation that a judge's language in a ruling reflected an improper motive. If the 48 judge's language was relevant to the case at hand -- for example a statement that a claim is legally 49 or factually "frivolous" -- then the judge's choice of language is presumptively merits-related and 50 excluded, absent evidence apart from the ruling itself suggesting an improper motive. If, on the 51 other hand, the challenged language does not seem relevant on its face, then an additional inquiry 52 under Rule 11 is necessary.

With regard to Rule 3(h)(3)(B), a complaint of delay in a single case is excluded as merits-related. Such an allegation may be said to challenge the correctness of an official action of the judge -- in other words, assigning a low priority to deciding the particular case. But, by the same token, an allegation of a habitual pattern of delay in a significant number of unrelated cases, or an allegation of deliberate delay in a single case arising out of an illicit motive, is not merits-related.

The remaining subsections of Rule 3 provide technical definitions clarifying the application of the Rules to the various kinds of courts covered.

#### 11 **4. Covered Judges**

7 8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25 A complaint under these Rules may concern the actions or capacity only of judges of United States courts of appeals, judges of United States district courts, judges of United States bankruptcy courts, United States magistrate judges, and judges of the courts specified in 28 U.S.C. § 363.

Commentary on Rule 4

This Rule tracks the Act. Rule 8(c) and (d) contain provisions as to the handling of complaints against persons not covered by the Act, such as other court personnel, or against both covered judges and noncovered persons.

#### ARTICLE II. INITIATION OF A COMPLAINT

#### 5. Identification of a Complaint

- Identification. When a chief judge has information constituting reasonable grounds 26 (a) 27 for inquiry into whether a covered judge has engaged in misconduct or has a disability, the chief judge may conduct an inquiry, as he or she deems appropriate, 28 29 into the accuracy of the information even if no related complaint has been filed. A 30 chief judge who finds probable cause to believe that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists may seek an informal resolution that he or she finds 31 32 satisfactory. If no informal resolution is achieved or is feasible, the chief judge may 33 identify a complaint and, by written order stating the reasons, begin the review 34 provided in Rule 11. If the evidence of misconduct is clear and convincing and no 35 informal resolution is achieved or is feasible, the chief judge must identify a complaint. A chief judge must not decline to identify a complaint merely because 36 the person making the allegation has not filed a complaint under Rule 6. This Rule 37 is subject to Rule 7. 38 39
  - (b) Noncompliance with Rule 6(d). Rule 6 complaints that do not comply with the requirements of Rule 6(d) must be considered under this Rule.
- 41 42

- 43
- 44

Commentary on Rule 5 1 2 3 This Rule is adapted from the Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 245-46. 4 5 The Act authorizes the chief judge, by written order stating reasons, to identify a 6 complaint and thereby dispense with the filing of a written complaint. See 28 U.S.C. § 351(b). Under Rule 5, when a chief judge becomes aware of information constituting reasonable grounds 7 8 to inquire into possible misconduct or disability on the part of a covered judge, and no formal complaint has been filed, the chief judge has the power in his or her discretion to begin an 9 appropriate inquiry. A chief judge's decision whether to informally seek a resolution and/or to 10 identify a complaint is guided by the results of that inquiry. If the chief judge concludes that 11 12 there is probable cause to believe that misconduct has occurred or a disability exists, the chief judge may seek an informal resolution, if feasible, and if failing in that, may identify a complaint. 13 Discretion is accorded largely for the reasons police officers and prosecutors have discretion in 14 15 making arrests or bringing charges. The matter may be trivial and isolated, based on marginal 16 evidence, or otherwise highly unlikely to lead to a misconduct or disability finding. On the other 17 hand, if the inquiry leads the chief judge to conclude that there is clear and convincing evidence 18 of misconduct or a disability, and no satisfactory informal resolution has been achieved or is 19 feasible, the chief judge is required to identify a complaint. 20 21 An informal resolution is one agreed to by the subject judge and found satisfactory by the 22 chief judge. Because an informal resolution under Rule 5 reached before a complaint is filed 23 under Rule 6 will generally cause a subsequent Rule 6 complaint alleging the identical matter 24 to be concluded, see Rule 11(d), the chief judge must be sure that the resolution is fully 25 appropriate before endorsing it. In doing so, the chief judge must balance the seriousness of the matter against the particular judge's alacrity in addressing the issue. The availability of this 26 27 procedure should encourage attempts at swift remedial action before a formal complaint is filed. 28 29 When a complaint is identified, a written order stating the reasons for the identification 30 must be provided; this begins the process articulated in Rule 11. Rule 11 provides that once the 31 chief judge has identified a complaint, the chief judge, subject to the disqualification provisions 32 of Rule 25, will perform, with respect to that complaint, all functions assigned to the chief judge 33 for the determination of complaints filed by a complainant. 34 35 In high-visibility situations, it may be desirable for the chief judge to identify a complaint 36 without first seeking an informal resolution (and then, if the circumstances warrant, dismiss or 37 conclude the identified complaint without appointment of a special committee) in order to assure 38 the public that the allegations have not been ignored. 39 40 A chief judge's decision not to identify a complaint under Rule 5 is not appealable and is subject to Rule 3(h)(3)(A), which excludes merits-related complaints from the definition of 41 misconduct. 42 43 44 A chief judge may not decline to identify a complaint solely on the basis that the unfiled allegations could be raised by one or more persons in a filed complaint, but none of these persons 45 has opted to do so. 46 47 48 Subsection (a) concludes by stating that this Rule is "subject to Rule 7." This is intended 49 to establish that only: (i) the chief judge of the home circuit of a potential subject judge, or 50 (ii) the chief judge of a circuit in which misconduct is alleged to have occurred in the course of 51 official business while the potential subject judge was sitting by designation, shall have the 52 power or a duty under this Rule to identify a complaint.

1 Subsection (b) provides that complaints filed under Rule 6 that do not comply with the 2 requirements of Rule 6(d), must be considered under this Rule. For instance, if a complaint has 3 been filed but the form submitted is unsigned, or the truth of the statements therein are not 4 verified in writing under penalty of perjury, then a chief judge must nevertheless consider the 5 allegations as known information, and proceed to follow the process described in Rule 5(a).

#### 6. Filing a Complaint

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35 36

37 38

39 40

41

42

- (a) Form. A complainant may use the form reproduced in the appendix to these Rules or a form designated by the rules of the judicial council in the circuit in which the complaint is filed. A complaint form is also available on each court of appeals' website or may be obtained from the circuit clerk or any district court or bankruptcy court within the circuit. A form is not necessary to file a complaint, but the complaint must be written and must include the information described in (b).
- (b) Brief Statement of Facts. A complaint must contain a concise statement that details the specific facts on which the claim of misconduct or disability is based. The statement of facts should include a description of:
  - (1) what happened;
  - (2) when and where the relevant events happened;
  - (3) any information that would help an investigator check the facts; and
  - (4) for an allegation of disability, any additional facts that form the basis of that allegation.
- (c) Legibility. A complaint should be typewritten if possible. If not typewritten, it must be legible. An illegible complaint will be returned to the complainant with a request to resubmit it in legible form. If a resubmitted complaint is still illegible, it will not be accepted for filing.
- (d) Complainant's Address and Signature; Verification. The complainant must provide a contact address and sign the complaint. The truth of the statements made in the complaint must be verified in writing under penalty of perjury. If any of these requirements are not met, the complaint will be accepted for filing, but it will be reviewed under only Rule 5(b).
- (e) Number of Copies; Envelope Marking. The complainant shall provide the number of copies of the complaint required by local rule. Each copy should be in an envelope marked "Complaint of Misconduct" or "Complaint of Disability." The envelope must not show the name of any subject judge.

Commentary on Rule 6

The Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and is self-explanatory.

### 7. Where to Initiate Complaints

- (a) Where to File. Except as provided in (b),
  - (1) a complaint against a judge of a United States court of appeals, a United States district court, a United States bankruptcy court, or a United States
- 43 44

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(b)	<ul> <li>magistrate judge must be filed with the circuit clerk in the jurisdiction in which the subject judge holds office.</li> <li>(2) a complaint against a judge of the United States Court of International Trade or the United States Court of Federal Claims must be filed with the respective clerk of that court.</li> <li>(3) a complaint against a judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit must be filed with the circuit executive of that court.</li> <li>Misconduct in Another Circuit; Transfer. If a complaint alleges misconduct in the course of official business while the subject judge was sitting on a court by designation under 28 U.S.C. §§ 291–293 and 294(d), the complaint may be filed or</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15		identified with the circuit clerk of that circuit or of the subject judge's home circuit. The proceeding will continue in the circuit of the first-filed or first-identified complaint. The judicial council of the circuit where the complaint was first filed or first identified may transfer the complaint to the subject judge's home circuit or to the circuit where the alleged misconduct occurred, as the case may be.
16 17	Comn	nentary on Rule 7
18 19 20 21 22 23	Accor	Title 28 U.S.C. § 351 states that complaints are to be filed with "the clerk of the court of ls for the circuit." However, in many circuits, this role is filled by circuit executives. dingly, the term "circuit clerk," as defined in Rule 3(b) and used throughout these Rules, s to circuit executives.
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	venue identii holds empha office	Section 351 uses the term "the circuit" in a way that suggests that either the home circuit subject judge or the circuit in which misconduct is alleged to have occurred is the proper for complaints. With an exception for judges sitting by designation, the Rule requires the fying or filing of a misconduct or disability complaint in the circuit in which the judge office, largely based on the administrative perspective of the Act. Given the Act's asis on the future conduct of the business of the courts, the circuit in which the judge holds is the appropriate forum because that circuit is likely best able to influence a judge's future ior in constructive ways.
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	memb often venue non-he	However, when judges sit by designation, the non-home circuit has a strong interest in sing misconduct in the course of official business, and where allegations also involve a per of the bar <u>ex parte</u> contact between an attorney and a judge, for example it may be desirable to have the judicial and bar misconduct proceedings take place in the same . Rule 7(b), therefore, allows transfer to, or filing or identification of a complaint in, the ome circuit. The proceeding may be transferred by the judicial council of the filing or fied circuit to the other circuit.
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	8. A (a)	ction by Clerk Receipt of Complaint. Upon receiving a complaint against a judge filed under Rule 5 or 6, the circuit clerk must open a file, assign a docket number according to a uniform numbering scheme promulgated by the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, and acknowledge the complaint's receipt.

I	(b)	Distribution of Copies. The clerk must promptly send copies of a complaint filed
2		under Rule 6 to the chief judge or the judge authorized to act as chief judge under
3		Rule 25(f), and copies of complaints filed under Rule 5 or 6 to each subject judge.
4		The clerk must retain the original complaint. Any further distribution should be as
5		provided by local rule.
6	(c)	Complaints Against Noncovered Persons. If the clerk receives a complaint about a
7	(0)	person not holding an office described in Rule 4, the clerk must not accept the
8		complaint for filing under these Rules.
	<b>(J)</b>	Receipt of Complaint about a Judge and Another Noncovered Person. If a
9	(d)	
10		complaint is received about a judge described in Rule 4 and a person not holding an
11		office described in Rule 4, the clerk must accept the complaint for filing under these
12		Rules only with regard to the judge and must inform the complainant of the
13		limitation.
14		
15	Com	mentary on Rule 8
16		
17		This Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and is largely self-explanatory.
18		
19		The uniform docketing scheme described in subsection (a) should take into account
20	noter	tial problems associated with a complaint that names multiple judges. One solution may be
21		by by by the separate docket numbers for each subject judge. Separate docket numbers would help
22		I difficulties in tracking cases, particularly if a complaint is dismissed with respect to some,
23		ot all of the named judges.
23	out n	ot an of the named judges.
24 25		Complaints against non-avained nameons are not to be accorted for an according under these
23	D1-	Complaints against noncovered persons are not to be accepted for processing under these
26	Rules	s but may, of course, be accepted under other circuit rules or procedures for grievances.
27		
28		
29	Loca	l Rule 8. Action by Circuit Executive upon Receipt of a Complaint
30		
31	(a)	<u><b>Receipt of complaint in proper form.</b></u> Upon receipt of a complaint against a judge filed
32		in proper form under these rules, the clerk of court of appeals will promptly transmit it to
33		the circuit executive. The circuit executive will have custody of the complaint and all
34		related papers and see that the complaint is expeditiously processed. The circuit
35		executive will docket the complaint according to a uniform numbering scheme
36		promulgated by the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability,
37		and acknowledge the complaint's receipt. The circuit executive will promptly distribute
38		copies of the complaint in accordance with Rule 8(b). When the chief judge issues an
39		
		order identifying a complaint under rule 5(a), the circuit executive will process such
40		complaint as otherwise provided by these rules.
41		
42	<b>(b)</b>	<b>Distribution of Copies</b> . If a district judge or magistrate judge is complained about, the
43		circuit executive will also send a copy of the complaint to the chief judge of the district
44		court in which the judge or magistrate judge holds his or her appointment. If a
45		bankruptcy judge is complained about, the circuit executive will send copies to the chief
46		judges of the district court and the bankruptcy court. However, if the chief judge of the
47		district court or bankruptcy court is a subject of a complaint, the chief judge's copy will
48		be sent to the judge of such court in regular active service who is most senior in date of
49		commission among those who are not subjects of the complaint.
50		6
51	(c)	Complaints Against Noncovered Persons. If the circuit executive receives a complaint
52		about a person not holding an office described in Rule 4, the circuit executive will not
		accur a person not notaning an office described in faile 7, the circuit executive will not

accept the complaint for filing and will advise the complainant in writing of the procedure for processing such complaints.

- (d) **Receipt of Complaint about a Judge and Another Noncovered Person**. If a complaint is received about a judge described in Rule 4 and a person not holding an office described in Rule 4, the circuit executive will accept the complaint for filing only with regard to the judge, and will advise the complainant accordingly.
- (e) **Receipt of a complaint not in proper form.** If the circuit executive receives a complaint against a judge described in Rule 4 that does not comply with the requirements of Rule 6, the circuit executive will ensure that the complaint is reviewed under Rule  $\overline{5}(b)$ , will advise the complainant of the appropriate procedures for refiling the complaint under Rule 6, and will enclose a copy of these rules and the accompanying forms.

#### 9. Time for Filing or Identifying a Complaint

#### A complaint may be filed or identified at any time. If the passage of time has made an 16 accurate and fair investigation of a complaint impractical, the complaint must be dismissed 18 under Rule 11(c)(1)(E).

Commentary on Rule 9

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

17

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31 32

33 34

35 36

37 38

39

40

42 43

44

This Rule is adapted from the Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351, 352(b)(1)(A)(iii), and the Illustrative Rules.

#### 10. Abuse of the Complaint Procedure

- Abusive Complaints. A complainant who has filed repetitive, harassing, or frivolous (a) complaints, or has otherwise abused the complaint procedure, may be restricted from filing further complaints. After giving the complainant an opportunity to show cause in writing why his or her right to file further complaints should not be limited, a judicial council may prohibit, restrict, or impose conditions on the complainant's use of the complaint procedure. Upon written request of the complainant, the judicial council may revise or withdraw any prohibition, restriction, or condition previously imposed.
- **(b)** Orchestrated Complaints. When many essentially identical complaints from different complainants are received and appear to be part of an orchestrated campaign, the chief judge may recommend that the judicial council issue a written order instructing the circuit clerk to accept only a certain number of such complaints for filing and to refuse to accept further ones. The clerk must send a copy of any such order to anyone whose complaint was not accepted.
- 41 Commentary on Rule 10

This Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules.

45 Rule 10(a) provides a mechanism for a judicial council to restrict the filing of further 46 complaints by a single complainant who has abused the complaint procedure. In some instances, however, the complaint procedure may be abused in a manner for which the remedy provided in 47 48 Rule 10(a) may not be appropriate. For example, some circuits have been inundated with submissions of dozens or hundreds of essentially identical complaints against the same judge or 49 50 judges, all submitted by different complainants. In many of these instances, persons with

51 grievances against a particular judge or judges used the Internet or other technology to orchestrate mass complaint-filing campaigns against them. If each complaint submitted as part
 of such a campaign were accepted for filing and processed according to these Rules, there would

be a serious drain on court resources without any benefit to the adjudication of the underlying
 merits.

A judicial council may, therefore, respond to such mass filings under Rule 10(b) by
declining to accept repetitive complaints for filing, regardless of the fact that the complaints are
nominally submitted by different complainants. When the first complaint or complaints have
been dismissed on the merits, and when further, essentially identical submissions follow, the
judicial council may issue a second order noting that these are identical or repetitive complaints,
directing the circuit clerk not to accept these complaints or any further such complaints for filing,
and directing the clerk to send each putative complainant copies of both orders.

### ARTICLE III. REVIEW OF A COMPLAINT BY THE CHIEF JUDGE

#### 11. Review by the Chief Judge

- (a) Purpose of Chief Judge's Review. When a complaint is identified by the chief judge or is filed, the chief judge must review it unless the chief judge is disqualified under Rule 25. If the complaint contains information constituting evidence of misconduct or disability, but the complainant does not claim it as such, the chief judge must treat the complaint as if it did allege misconduct or disability and give notice to the subject judge. After reviewing the complaint, the chief judge must determine whether it should be:
  - (1) dismissed;
  - (2) concluded on the ground that voluntary corrective action has been taken;
  - (3) concluded because intervening events have made action on the complaint no longer necessary; or
  - (4) referred to a special committee.
- (b) Inquiry by Chief Judge. In determining what action to take under Rule 11(a), the chief judge may conduct a limited inquiry. The chief judge, or a designee, may communicate orally or in writing with the complainant, the subject judge, and any others who may have knowledge of the matter, and may review transcripts or other relevant documents. In conducting the inquiry, the chief judge must not determine any reasonably disputed issue.

#### (c) Dismissal.

- (1) Allowable grounds. A complaint must be dismissed in whole or in part to the extent that the chief judge concludes that the complaint:
  - (A) alleges conduct that, even if true, is not prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts and does not indicate a mental or physical disability resulting in inability to discharge the duties of judicial office;
  - (B) is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling;
  - (C) is frivolous;
    - (D) is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists;
    - (E) is based on allegations which are incapable of being established through investigation;
  - (F) has been filed in the wrong circuit under Rule 7; or
  - (G) is otherwise not appropriate for consideration under the Act.
- 50(2)Disallowed grounds. A complaint must not be dismissed solely because it51repeats allegations of a previously dismissed complaint if it also contains

1		material information not previously considered and does not constitute
2		harassment of the subject judge.
3	(d)	Corrective Action. The chief judge may conclude the complaint proceeding in whole
	(u)	
4		or in part if:
5		(1) an informal resolution under Rule 5 satisfactory to the chief judge was
6		reached before the complaint was filed under Rule 6, or
7		(2) the chief judge determines that the subject judge has taken appropriate
8		voluntary corrective action that acknowledges and remedies the problems
9		raised by the complaint.
	(a)	V I
10	(e)	Intervening Events. The chief judge may conclude the complaint proceeding in
11		whole or in part upon determining that intervening events render some or all of the
12		allegations moot or make remedial action impossible.
13	(f)	Appointment of Special Committee. If some or all of the complaint is not dismissed
14		or concluded, the chief judge must promptly appoint a special committee to
15		investigate the complaint or any relevant portion of it and to make
16		recommendations to the judicial council. Before appointing a special committee, the
17		chief judge must invite the subject judge to respond to the complaint either orally or
18		in writing if the judge was not given an opportunity during the limited inquiry. In
19		the chief judge's discretion, separate complaints may be joined and assigned to a
20		single special committee. Similarly, a single complaint about more than one judge
21		may be severed and more than one special committee appointed.
22	(g)	Notice of Chief Judge's Action; Petitions for Review.
23	(g)	
		(1) When special committee is appointed. If a special committee is appointed,
24		the chief judge must notify the complainant and the subject judge that the
25		matter has been referred to a special committee and identify the members of
26		the committee. A copy of the order appointing the special committee must be
27		sent to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and
28		Disability.
29		(2) When chief judge disposes of complaint without appointing special
30		committee. If the chief judge disposes of the complaint under Rule 11(c), (d),
31		or (e), the chief judge must prepare a supporting memorandum that sets
32		forth the reasons for the disposition. Except as authorized by 28 U.S.C.
33		§ 360, the memorandum must not include the name of the complainant or of
34		the subject judge. The order and the supporting memorandum, which may
35		be one document, must be provided to the complainant, the subject judge,
36		and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability.
37		(3) Right of petition for review. If the chief judge disposes of a complaint under
38		Rule 11(c), (d), or (e), the complainant and subject judge must be notified of
39		the right to petition the judicial council for review of the disposition, as
40		provided in Rule 18. If a petition for review is filed, the chief judge must
41		promptly transmit all materials obtained in connection with the inquiry
42		under Rule 11(b) to the circuit clerk for transmittal to the judicial council.
43	(h)	Public Availability of Chief Judge's Decision. The chief judge's decision must be
44	(11)	made public to the extent, at the time, and in the manner provided in Rule 24.
		maue public to the extent, at the time, and in the manner provided in Kule 24.
45	C	
46	Com	mentary on Rule 11
47		
10		Section (-) lists the estimate and its have a highlight in manipulation of the time of the section of the time of

48 Subsection (a) lists the actions available to a chief judge in reviewing a complaint. This 49 subsection provides that where a complaint has been filed under Rule 6, the ordinary doctrines of 50 waiver do not apply. A chief judge must identify as a complaint any misconduct or disability 51 issues raised by the factual allegations of the complaint even if the complainant makes no such 52 claim with regard to those issues. For example, an allegation limited to misconduct in fact-finding that mentions periods during a trial when the judge was asleep must be treated as a
 complaint regarding disability. Some formal order giving notice of the expanded scope of the
 proceeding must be given to the subject judge.

5 Subsection (b) describes the nature of the chief judge's inquiry. It is based largely on the 6 Brever Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 243-45. The Act states that dismissal is appropriate 7 "when a limited inquiry . . . demonstrates that the allegations in the complaint lack any factual foundation or are conclusively refuted by objective evidence." 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(B). At the 8 same time, however, Section 352(a) states that "[t]he chief judge shall not undertake to make 9 findings of fact about any matter that is reasonably in dispute." These two statutory standards 10 should be read together, so that a matter is not "reasonably" in dispute if a limited inquiry shows 11 12 that the allegations do not constitute misconduct or disability, that they lack any reliable factual 13 foundation, or that they are conclusively refuted by objective evidence. 14

15 In conducting a limited inquiry under subsection (b), the chief judge must avoid 16 determinations of reasonably disputed issues, including reasonably disputed issues as to whether 17 the facts alleged constitute misconduct or disability, which are ordinarily left to a special 18 committee and the judicial council. An allegation of fact is ordinarily not "refuted" simply 19 because the subject judge denies it. The limited inquiry must reveal something more in the way 20 of refutation before it is appropriate to dismiss a complaint that is otherwise cognizable. If it is 21 the complainant's word against the subject judge's -- in other words, there is simply no other 22 significant evidence of what happened or of the complainant's unreliability -- then there must be 23 a special-committee investigation. Such a credibility issue is a matter "reasonably in dispute" 24 within the meaning of the Act. 25

26 However, dismissal following a limited inquiry may occur when the complaint refers to 27 transcripts or to witnesses and the chief judge determines that the transcripts and witnesses all 28 support the subject judge. Brever Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 243. For example, consider 29 a complaint alleging that the subject judge said X, and the complaint mentions, or it is 30 independently clear, that five people may have heard what the judge said. Id. The chief judge is 31 told by the subject judge and one witness that the judge did not say X, and the chief judge 32 dismisses the complaint without questioning the other four possible witnesses. Id. In this 33 example, the matter remains reasonably in dispute. If all five witnesses say the judge did not say 34 X, dismissal is appropriate, but if potential witnesses who are reasonably accessible have not 35 been questioned, then the matter remains reasonably in dispute. Id. 36

Similarly, under (c)(1)(A), if it is clear that the conduct or disability alleged, even if true,
is not cognizable under these Rules, the complaint should be dismissed. If that issue is
reasonably in dispute, however, dismissal under (c)(1)(A) is inappropriate.

41 Essentially, the standard articulated in subsection (b) is that used to decide motions for 42 summary judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56. Genuine issues of material fact are not 43 resolved at the summary judgment stage. A material fact is one that "might affect the outcome of 44 the suit under the governing law," and a dispute is "genuine" if "the evidence is such that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party." Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, 477 45 U.S. 242, 248 (1986). Similarly, the chief judge may not resolve a genuine issue concerning a 46 47 material fact or the existence of misconduct or a disability when conducting a limited inquiry 48 pursuant to subsection (b).

50 Subsection (c) describes the grounds on which a complaint may be dismissed. These are 51 adapted from the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b), and the Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 52 239-45. Subsection (c)(1)(A) permits dismissal of an allegation that, even if true, does not

- constitute misconduct or disability under the statutory standard. The proper standards are set out
  in Rule 3 and discussed in the Commentary on that Rule. Subsection (c)(1)(B) permits dismissal
  of complaints related to the merits of a decision by a subject judge; this standard is also governed
  by Rule 3 and its accompanying Commentary.
  - Subsections (c)(1)(C)-(E) implement the statute by allowing dismissal of complaints that are "frivolous, lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred, or containing allegations which are incapable of being established through investigation." 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).

6

7

8

9

10 11

Dismissal of a complaint as "frivolous," under Rule 11(c)(1)(C), will generally occur without any inquiry beyond the face of the complaint. For instance, when the allegations are facially incredible or so lacking in indicia of reliability that no further inquiry is warranted, dismissal under this subsection is appropriate.

16 A complaint warranting dismissal under Rule 11(c)(1)(D) is illustrated by the following 17 example. Consider a complainant who alleges an impropriety and asserts that he knows of it 18 because it was observed and reported to him by a person who is identified. The judge denies that 19 the event occurred. When contacted, the source also denies it. In such a case, the chief judge's 20 proper course of action may turn on whether the source had any role in the allegedly improper 21 conduct. If the complaint was based on a lawyer's statement that he or she had an improper ex 22 parte contact with a judge, the lawyer's denial of the impropriety might not be taken as wholly 23 persuasive, and it would be appropriate to conclude that a real factual issue is raised. On the 24 other hand, if the complaint quoted a disinterested third party and that disinterested party denied 25 that the statement had been made, there would be no value in opening a formal investigation. In 26 such a case, it would be appropriate to dismiss the complaint under Rule 11(c)(1)(D). 27

28 Rule 11(c)(1)(E) is intended, among other things, to cover situations when no evidence is 29 offered or identified, or when the only identified source is unavailable. Brever Committee 30 Report, 239 F.R.D. at 243. For example, a complaint alleges that an unnamed attorney told the 31 complainant that the judge did X. Id. The subject judge denies it. The chief judge requests that 32 the complainant (who does not purport to have observed the judge do X) identify the unnamed 33 witness, or that the unnamed witness come forward so that the chief judge can learn the unnamed 34 witness's account. Id. The complainant responds that he has spoken with the unnamed witness, 35 that the unnamed witness is an attorney who practices in federal court, and that the unnamed 36 witness is unwilling to be identified or to come forward. Id. at 243-44. The allegation is then 37 properly dismissed as containing allegations that are incapable of being established through 38 investigation. Id. 39

40 If, however, the situation involves a reasonable dispute over credibility, the matter should 41 proceed. For example, the complainant alleges an impropriety and alleges that he or she 42 observed it and that there were no other witnesses; the subject judge denies that the event 43 occurred. Unless the complainant's allegations are facially incredible or so lacking indicia of 44 reliability warranting dismissal under Rule 11(c)(1)(C), a special committee must be appointed 45 because there is a material factual question that is reasonably in dispute.

- Dismissal is also appropriate when a complaint is filed so long after an alleged event that
   memory loss, death, or changes to unknown residences prevent a proper investigation.
- 50 Subsection (c)(2) indicates that the investigative nature of the process prevents the 51 application of claim preclusion principles where new and material evidence becomes available. 52 However, it also recognizes that at some point a renewed investigation may constitute

harassment of the subject judge and should be foregone, depending of course on the seriousness
 of the issues and the weight of the new evidence.

4 Rule 11(d) implements the Act's provision for dismissal if voluntary appropriate 5 corrective action has been taken. It is largely adapted from the Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. 244-45. The Act authorizes the chief judge to conclude the proceedings if "appropriate 6 7 corrective action has been taken." 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(2). Under the Rule, action taken after the 8 complaint is filed is "appropriate" when it acknowledges and remedies the problem raised by the 9 complaint. Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 244. Because the Act deals with the 10 conduct of judges, the emphasis is on correction of the judicial conduct that was the subject of 11 the complaint. Id. Terminating a complaint based on corrective action is premised on the 12 implicit understanding that voluntary self-correction or redress of misconduct or a disability is 13 preferable to sanctions. Id. The chief judge may facilitate this process by giving the subject 14 judge an objective view of the appearance of the judicial conduct in question and by suggesting appropriate corrective measures. Id. Moreover, when corrective action is taken under Rule 5 15 16 satisfactory to the chief judge before a complaint is filed, that informal resolution will be 17 sufficient to conclude a subsequent complaint based on the identical conduct. 18

19 "Corrective action" must be voluntary action taken by the subject judge. Brever 20 Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 244. A remedial action directed by the chief judge or by an 21 appellate court without the participation of the subject judge in formulating the directive or 22 without the subject judge's subsequent agreement to such action does not constitute the requisite 23 voluntary corrective action. Id. Neither the chief judge nor an appellate court has authority 24 under the Act to impose a formal remedy or sanction; only the judicial council can impose a 25 formal remedy or sanction under 28 U.S.C. § 354(a)(2). Id. Compliance with a previous council 26 order may serve as corrective action allowing conclusion of a later complaint about the same 27 behavior. Id.

29 Where a judge's conduct has resulted in identifiable, particularized harm to the 30 complainant or another individual, appropriate corrective action should include steps taken by 31 that judge to acknowledge and redress the harm, if possible, such as by an apology, recusal from 32 a case, or a pledge to refrain from similar conduct in the future. Id. While the Act is generally 33 forward-looking, any corrective action should, to the extent possible, serve to correct a specific 34 harm to an individual, if such harm can reasonably be remedied. Id. In some cases, corrective 35 action may not be "appropriate" to justify conclusion of a complaint unless the complainant or 36 other individual harmed is meaningfully apprised of the nature of the corrective action in the 37 chief judge's order, in a direct communication from the subject judge, or otherwise. Id. 38

28

Voluntary corrective action should be proportionate to any plausible allegations of
misconduct in the complaint. The form of corrective action should also be proportionate to any
sanctions that a judicial council might impose under Rule 20(b), such as a private or public
reprimand or a change in case assignments. Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D at 244-45. In
other words, minor corrective action will not suffice to dispose of a serious matter. Id.

Rule 11(e) implements Section 352(b)(2) of the Act, which permits the chief judge to 45 "conclude the proceeding," if "action on the complaint is no longer necessary because of 46 47 intervening events," such as a resignation from judicial office. Ordinarily, however, stepping 48 down from an administrative post such as chief judge, judicial-council member, or court-49 committee chair does not constitute an event rendering unnecessary any further action on a 50 complaint alleging judicial misconduct. Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 245. As long 51 as the subject of the complaint performs judicial duties, a complaint alleging judicial misconduct 52 must be addressed. Id.

1 If a complaint is not disposed of pursuant to Rule 11(c), (d), or (e), a special committee 2 must be appointed. Rule 11(f) states that a subject judge must be invited to respond to the 3 complaint before a special committee is appointed, if no earlier response was invited. 4

5 Subject judges, of course, receive copies of complaints at the same time that they are 6 referred to the chief judge, and they are free to volunteer responses to them. Under Rule 11(b), 7 the chief judge may request a response if it is thought necessary. However, many complaints are 8 clear candidates for dismissal even if their allegations are accepted as true, and there is no need 9 for the subject judge to devote time to a defense. 10

11 The Act requires that the order dismissing a complaint or concluding the proceeding 12 contain a statement of reasons and that a copy of the order be sent to the complainant. 28 U.S.C. 13 § 352(b). Rule 24, dealing with availability of information to the public, contemplates that the 14 order will be made public, usually without disclosing the names of the complainant or the subject 15 judge. If desired for administrative purposes, more identifying information can be included in a 16 non-public version of the order.

18 When complaints are disposed of by chief judges, the statutory purposes are best served 19 by providing the complainant with a full, particularized, but concise explanation, giving reasons 20 for the conclusions reached. <u>See also</u> Commentary on Rule 24, dealing with public availability. 21

Rule 11(g) provides that the complainant and subject judge must be notified, in the case of a disposition by the chief judge, of the right to petition the judicial council for review. A copy of a chief judge's order and memorandum, which may be one document, disposing of a complaint must be sent by the circuit clerk to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability.

27 28

## ARTICLE IV. INVESTIGATION AND REPORT BY SPECIAL COMMITTEE

### 12. Composition of Special Committee

- (a) Membership. Except as provided in (e), a special committee appointed under Rule 11(f) must consist of the chief judge and equal numbers of circuit and district judges. If the complaint is about a district judge, bankruptcy judge, or magistrate judge, then, when possible, the district-judge members of the committee must be from districts other than the district of the subject judge. For the courts named in 28 U.S.C. § 363, the committee must be selected from the judges serving on the subject judge's court.
- (b) Presiding Officer. When appointing the committee, the chief judge may serve as the presiding officer or else must designate a committee member as the presiding officer.
- (c) Bankruptcy Judge or Magistrate Judge as Adviser. If the subject judge is a bankruptcy judge or magistrate judge, he or she may, within 14 days after being notified of the committee's appointment, ask the chief judge to designate as a committee adviser another bankruptcy judge or magistrate judge, as the case may be. The chief judge must grant such a request but may otherwise use discretion in naming the adviser. Unless the adviser is a Court of Federal Claims special master appointed under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(c), the adviser must be from a district other than the district of the subject bankruptcy judge or subject magistrate judge. The adviser cannot vote but has the other privileges of a committee member.
  - (d) Provision of Documents. The chief judge must certify to each other member of the committee and to any adviser copies of the complaint and statement of facts in whole or relevant part, and any other relevant documents on file.
  - (e) Continuing Qualification of Committee Members. A member of a special committee who was qualified to serve when appointed may continue to serve on the committee even though the member relinquishes the position of chief judge, active circuit judge, or active district judge, as the case may be, but only if the member continues to hold office under Article III, Section 1, of the Constitution of the United States, or under 28 U.S.C. § 171.
  - (f) Inability of Committee Member to Complete Service. If a member of a special committee can no longer serve because of death, disability, disqualification, resignation, retirement from office, or other reason, the chief judge must decide whether to appoint a replacement member, either a circuit or district judge as needed under (a). No special committee appointed under these Rules may function with only a single member, and the votes of a two-member committee must be unanimous.
  - (g) Voting. All actions by a committee must be by vote of a majority of all members of the committee.
- 43 Commentary on Rule 12

This Rule is adapted from the Act and the Illustrative Rules.

47 Rule 12 leaves the size of a special committee flexible, to be determined on a
48 case-by-case basis. The question of committee size is one that should be weighed with care in
49 view of the potential for consuming the members' time; a large committee should be appointed
50 only if there is a special reason to do so.

Although the Act requires that the chief judge be a member of each special committee, 28 U.S.C. § 353(a)(1), it does not require that the chief judge preside. Accordingly, Rule 12(b) provides that if the chief judge does not preside, he or she must designate another committee member as the presiding officer.

Rule 12(c) provides that the chief judge must appoint a bankruptcy judge or magistrate judge as an adviser to a special committee at the request of a bankruptcy or magistrate subject judge.

10 Subsection (c) also provides that the adviser will have all the privileges of a committee 11 member except a vote. The adviser, therefore, may participate in all deliberations of the 12 committee, question witnesses at hearings, and write a separate statement to accompany the 13 special committee's report to the judicial council.

Rule 12(e) provides that a member of a special committee who remains an Article III judge may continue to serve on the committee even though the member's status otherwise changes. Thus, a committee that originally consisted of the chief judge and an equal number of circuit and district judges, as required by the law, may continue to function even though changes of status alter that composition. This provision reflects the belief that stability of membership will contribute to the quality of the work of such committees.

22 Stability of membership is also the principal concern animating Rule 12(f), which deals 23 with the case in which a special committee loses a member before its work is complete. The 24 Rule permits the chief judge to determine whether a replacement member should be appointed. 25 Generally, appointment of a replacement member is desirable in these situations unless the 26 committee has conducted evidentiary hearings before the vacancy occurs. However, cases may 27 arise in which a committee is in the late stages of its work, and in which it would be difficult for 28 a new member to play a meaningful role. The Rule also preserves the collegial character of the 29 committee process by prohibiting a single surviving member from serving as a committee and by 30 providing that a committee of two surviving members will, in essence, operate under a unanimity 31 rule. 32

Rule 12(g) provides that actions of a special committee must be by vote of a majority of all the members. All the members of a committee should participate in committee decisions. In that circumstance, it seems reasonable to require that committee decisions be made by a majority of the membership, rather than a majority of some smaller quorum.

#### 13. Conduct of an Investigation

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

- (a) Extent and Methods of Special-Committee Investigation. Each special committee must determine the appropriate extent and methods of the investigation in light of the allegations of the complaint. If, in the course of the investigation, the committee has cause to believe that the subject judge may have engaged in misconduct or has a disability that is beyond the scope of the complaint, the committee must refer the new matter to the chief judge for action under Rule 5 or Rule 11.
- (b) Criminal Conduct. If the committee's investigation concerns conduct that may be a
  crime, the committee must consult with the appropriate prosecutorial authorities to
  the extent permitted by the Act to avoid compromising any criminal investigation.
  The committee has final authority over the timing and extent of its investigation and
  the formulation of its recommendations.

(c) Staff. The committee may arrange for staff assistance to conduct the investigation. It may use existing staff of the judicial branch or may hire special staff through the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts. Delegation of Subpoena Power; Contempt. The chief judge may delegate the (d) authority to exercise the committee's subpoena powers. The judicial council or special committee may institute a contempt proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 332(d) against anyone who fails to comply with a subpoena. Commentary on Rule 13 This Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules.

13 Rule 13, as well as Rules 14, 15, and 16, are concerned with the way in which a special 14 committee carries out its mission. They reflect the view that a special committee has two roles 15 that are separated in ordinary litigation. First, the committee has an investigative role of the kind that is characteristically left to executive branch agencies or discovery by civil litigants. 28 16 17 U.S.C. § 353(c). Second, it has a formalized fact-finding and recommendation-of-disposition 18 role that is characteristically left to juries, judges, or arbitrators. Id. Rule 13 generally governs the investigative stage. Even though the same body has responsibility for both roles under the 19 20 Act, it is important to distinguish between them in order to ensure that appropriate rights are 21 afforded at appropriate times to the subject judge. 22

One of the difficult questions that can arise is the relationship between proceedings under the Act and criminal investigations. Rule 13(b) assigns responsibility for coordination to the special committee in cases in which criminal conduct is suspected, but gives the committee the authority to determine the appropriate pace of its activity in light of any criminal investigation.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 356(a) provides that a special committee will have full subpoena powers as provided in 28 U.S.C. § 332(d). Section 332(d)(1) provides that subpoenas will be issued on behalf of judicial councils by the circuit clerk "at the direction of the chief judge of the circuit or his designee." Rule 13(d) contemplates that, where the chief judge designates someone else as presiding officer of a special committee, the presiding officer also be delegated the authority to direct the circuit clerk to issue subpoenas related to committee proceedings. That is not intended to imply, however, that the decision to use the subpoena power is exercisable by the presiding officer alone. See Rule 12(g).

#### 14. Conduct of Hearings by Special Committee

- Purpose of Hearings. The committee may hold hearings to take testimony and **(a)** receive other evidence, to hear argument, or both. If the committee is investigating allegations against more than one judge, it may hold joint or separate hearings.
- 41 **(b)** Committee Evidence. Subject to Rule 15, the committee must obtain material, nonredundant evidence in the form it considers appropriate. In the committee's 42 43 discretion, evidence may be obtained by committee members, staff, or both. 44 Witnesses offering testimonial evidence may include the complainant and the subject judge.
- 45 46

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

23

24

25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

1 2 3	(c)	commi	ittee has	Vitnesses. The subject judge has the right to counsel. The special s discretion to decide whether other witnesses may have counsel
3		-		they testify.
4 5	(d)			Witness fees must be paid as provided in 28 U.S.C. § 1821.
	(e)			timony taken at a hearing must be given under oath or affirmation.
6 7	(f)	Rules ( hearin		ence. The Federal Rules of Evidence do not apply to special-committee
8	(g)			ranscript. A record and transcript must be made of all hearings.
9	(g)	ACCOL	u anu i	ranseript. A record and transcript must be made of an nearings.
10	Com	nentary c	on Rule	14
11 12		This R	ule is ac	lapted from Section 353 of the Act and the Illustrative Rules.
13				
14 15 16 17 18 19	comm proce Acco will h	ngs will r nittee has eding. S rdingly, t ave some	normally s conclue pecial-c the Fede ething o	cerned with the conduct of fact-finding hearings. Special-committee be held only after the investigative work has been completed and the ded that there is sufficient evidence to warrant a formal fact-finding committee proceedings are primarily inquisitorial rather than adversarial. ral Rules of Evidence do not apply to such hearings. Inevitably, a hearing f an adversary character. Nevertheless, that tendency should be moderated
20 21 22 23	stage	s, commi	ittee mer	Even though a proceeding will commonly have investigative and hearing mbers should not regard themselves as prosecutors one day and judges the d that of their staff is at all times to be impartial seekers of the truth.
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	hearin respe witne powe recog	nted in th ngs shoul ct to testi ss. Case rs are ava nizes the	ne form ( ld regard imonial ( s may an ailable, s subject	Intemplates that material evidence will be obtained by the committee and of affidavits, live testimony, etc. Staff or others who are organizing the d it as their role to present evidence representing the entire picture. With evidence, the subject judge should normally be called as a committee rise in which the judge will not testify voluntarily. In such cases, subpoena subject to the normal testimonial privileges. Although Rule $15(c)$ judge's statutory right to call witnesses on his or her own behalf, exercise t usually be necessary.
33	15.	Rights	of Sub	oject Judge
		Notice		Jeerouwge
34	<b>(a)</b>			
35		(1)		ally. The subject judge must receive written notice of:
36			• •	the appointment of a special committee under Rule 11(f);
37			<b>(B)</b>	the expansion of the scope of an investigation under Rule 13(a);
38			(C)	any hearing under Rule 14, including its purposes, the names of any
39				witnesses the committee intends to call, and the text of any statements
40				that have been taken from those witnesses.
41		$(\mathbf{n})$		
		(2)		stion of additional witnesses. The subject judge may suggest additional
42		-		ses to the committee.
43	(b)			Special Committee. The subject judge must be sent a copy of the
44				ittee's report when it is filed with the judicial council.
45	(c)			of Evidence. At any hearing held under Rule 14, the subject judge has
46	(-)			resent evidence, to compel the attendance of witnesses, and to compel
47		0		n of documents. At the request of the subject judge, the chief judge or
48				esignee must direct the circuit clerk to issue a subpoena to a witness
				22

1 2 3 4	(d)	under 28 U.S.C. § 332(d)(1). The subject judge must be given the opportunity to cross-examine committee witnesses, in person or by counsel. Presentation of Argument. The subject judge may submit written argument to the special committee and must be given a reasonable opportunity to present oral			
5 6 7	(e)	argument at an appropriate stage of the investigation. Attendance at Hearings. The subject judge has the right to attend any hearing held under Rule 14 and to receive copies of the transcript, of any documents introduced,			
8 9 10 11	(f)	and of any written arguments submitted by the complainant to the committee. Representation by Counsel. The subject judge may choose to be represented by counsel in the exercise of any right enumerated in this Rule. As provided in Rule 20(e), the United States may bear the costs of the representation.			
12 13	Com	mentary on Rule 15			
14 15		This Rule is adapted from the Act and the Illustrative Rules.			
16 17		The Act states that these Rules must contain provisions requiring that "the judge whose			
18 19	coun	conduct is the subject of a complaint be afforded an opportunity to appear (in person or by counsel) at proceedings conducted by the investigating panel, to present oral and documentary			
20		vidence, to compel the attendance of witnesses or the production of documents, to			
21 22		-examine witnesses, and to present argument orally or in writing." 28 U.S.C. § 358(b)(2). plement this provision, Rule 15(e) gives the judge the right to attend any hearing held for			
23		urpose of receiving evidence of record or hearing argument under Rule 14.			
24	me p				
25		The Act does not require that the subject judge be permitted to attend all proceedings of			
26		becial committee. Accordingly, the Rules do not give a right to attend other proceedings			
27		r example, meetings at which the committee is engaged in investigative activity, such as			
28		erviewing persons to learn whether they ought to be called as witnesses or examining for			
29 30		ance purposes documents delivered pursuant to a subpoena duces tecum, or meetings in			
30 31	which	h the committee is deliberating on the evidence or its recommendations.			
32	16	Rights of Complainant in Investigation			
33	(a)	Notice. The complainant must receive written notice of the investigation as			
33 34	(a)	provided in Rule 11(g)(1). When the special committee's report to the judicial			
35		council is filed, the complainant must be notified of the filing. The judicial council			
36		may, in its discretion, provide a copy of the report of a special committee to the			
37		complainant.			
38	(b)	<b>Opportunity to Provide Evidence</b> . If the committee determines that the complainant			
39		may have evidence that does not already exist in writing, a representative of the			
40		committee must interview the complainant.			
41	(c)	Presentation of Argument. The complainant may submit written argument to the			
42		special committee. In its discretion, the special committee may permit the			
43	<	complainant to offer oral argument.			
44	(d)	Representation by Counsel. A complainant may submit written argument through			
45 46		counsel and, if permitted to offer oral argument, may do so through counsel.			
46 47	<b>(e)</b>	Cooperation. In exercising its discretion under this Rule, a special committee may take into account the degree of the complainant's cooperation in preserving the			
47		confidentiality of the proceedings, including the identity of the subject judge.			
49		connucleancy of the proceedings, including the lucifity of the subject judge.			

Commentary on Rule 16 1 2 3 This Rule is adapted from the Act and the Illustrative Rules. 4 5 In accordance with the view of the process as fundamentally administrative and 6 inquisitorial, these Rules do not give the complainant the rights of a party to litigation, and leave 7 the complainant's role largely to the discretion of the special committee. However, Rule 16(b) 8 provides that, where a special committee has been appointed and it determines that the complainant may have additional evidence, the complainant must be interviewed by a 9 representative of the committee. Such an interview may be in person or by telephone, and the 10 11 representative of the committee may be either a member or staff. 12 13 Rule 16 does not contemplate that the complainant will ordinarily be permitted to attend proceedings of the special committee except when testifying or presenting oral argument. A 14 15 special committee may exercise its discretion to permit the complainant to be present at its 16 proceedings, or to permit the complainant, individually or through counsel, to participate in the 17 examination or cross-examination of witnesses. 18 19 The Act authorizes an exception to the normal confidentiality provisions where the 20 judicial council in its discretion provides a copy of the report of the special committee to the 21 complainant and to the subject judge. 28 U.S.C. § 360(a)(1). However, the Rules do not entitle 22 the complainant to a copy of the special committee's report. 23 24 In exercising their discretion regarding the role of the complainant, the special committee 25 and the judicial council should protect the confidentiality of the complaint process. As a 26 consequence, subsection (e) provides that a special committee may consider the degree to which 27 a complainant has cooperated in preserving the confidentiality of the proceedings in determining 28 what role beyond the minimum required by these Rules should be given to that complainant. 29 **17. Special-Committee Report** 30 The committee must file with the judicial council a comprehensive report of its 31 32 investigation, including findings and recommendations for council action. The report must 33 be accompanied by a statement of the vote by which it was adopted, any separate or 34 dissenting statements of committee members, and the record of any hearings held under 35 Rule 14. A copy of the report and accompanying statement must be sent to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. 36 37 38 Commentary on Rule 17 39 40 This Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and is self-explanatory. The provision for 41 sending a copy of the special-committee report and accompanying statement to the Judicial Conference Committee is new. 42 43 44

#### 1 **ARTICLE V. JUDICIAL-COUNCIL REVIEW** 2 18. Petitions for Review of Chief Judge Dispositions Under 3 Rule 11(c), (d), or (e) 4 Petitions for Review. After the chief judge issues an order under Rule 11(c), (d), or 5 (a) (e), a complainant or subject judge may petition the judicial council of the circuit to 6 review the order. By rules promulgated under 28 U.S.C. § 358, the judicial council 7 may refer a petition for review filed under this Rule to a panel of no fewer than five 8 9 members of the council, at least two of whom must be district judges. When to File; Form; Where to File. A petition for review must be filed in the office 10 **(b)** of the circuit clerk within 35 days of the date on the clerk's letter informing the 11 parties of the chief judge's order. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to 12 the circuit clerk, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability 13 14 Petition." The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with "I hereby 15 petition the judicial council for review of . . . " and state the reasons why the 16 petition should be granted. It must be signed. 17 Receipt and Distribution of Petition. A circuit clerk who receives a petition for 18 (c) 19 review filed within the time allowed and in proper form must: 20 acknowledge its receipt and send a copy to the complainant or subject judge, (1) 21 as the case may be; promptly distribute to each member of the judicial council, or its relevant 22 (2) panel, except for any member disgualified under Rule 25, or make available 23 in the manner provided by local rule, the following materials: 24 copies of the complaint; 25 **(A)** all materials obtained by the chief judge in connection with the 26 **(B)** 27 inquiry; 28 the chief judge's order disposing of the complaint; **(C)** 29 **(D)** any memorandum in support of the chief judge's order; the petition for review; and 30 **(E)** 31 an appropriate ballot; **(F)** send the petition for review to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial 32 (3) 33 **Conduct and Disability. Unless the Judicial Conference Committee requests** 34 them, the clerk will not send copies of the materials obtained by the chief 35 judge. Untimely Petition. The clerk must refuse to accept a petition that is received after 36 (d) 37 the deadline in (b). Timely Petition Not in Proper Form. When the clerk receives a petition filed within 38 **(e)** 39 the time allowed but in a form that is improper to a degree that would substantially impair its consideration by the judicial council — such as a document that is 40 ambiguous about whether it is intended to be a petition for review — the clerk must 41 42 acknowledge its receipt, call the filer's attention to the deficiencies, and give the filer 43 the opportunity to correct the deficiencies within 21 days of the date of the clerk's letter about the deficiencies or within the original deadline for filing the petition, 44 45 whichever is later. If the deficiencies are corrected within the time allowed, the clerk will proceed according to paragraphs (a) and (c) of this Rule. If the 46 47 deficiencies are not corrected, the clerk must reject the petition. 48

1						
1 2	Comm	pentary on Rule 18				
$\frac{2}{3}$	Commentary on Rule 18					
4 5 6	Rule 18 is adapted largely from the Illustrative Rules.					
6		Subsection (a) permits a subject judge, as well as the complainant, to petition for review				
7	of a cł	nief judge's order dismissing a complaint under Rule 11(c), or concluding that appropriate				
8	corrective action or intervening events have remedied or mooted the problems raised by the					
9	compl	aint pursuant to Rule 11(d) or (e). Although the subject judge may ostensibly be				
10	vindic	ated by the dismissal or conclusion of a complaint, a chief judge's order may include				
11		ge disagreeable to the subject judge. For example, an order may dismiss a complaint, but				
12	state that the subject judge did in fact engage in misconduct. Accordingly, a subject judge may					
13	wish to object to the content of the order and is given the opportunity to petition the judicial					
14	counc	il of the circuit for review.				
15						
16	Subsection (b) contains a time limit of thirty-five days to file a petition for review. It is					
17		tant to establish a time limit on petitions for review of chief judges' dispositions in order to				
18 19	provide finality to the process. If the complaint requires an investigation, the investigation					
20	should	l proceed; if it does not, the subject judge should know that the matter is closed.				
20 21		The standards for timely filing under the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure should be				
22	annlie	d to petitions for review. See Fed. R. App. P. $25(a)(2)(A)$ and (C).				
23	uppile	$\underline{b}$ to periods for review. $\underline{b}$ red, review, $\underline{b}$ review, \underline{b} review, $\underline{b}$ review, $\underline{b}$ review, $\underline{b}$ review, $\underline{b}$ review, \underline{b} review, $\underline{b}$ revie				
24		Rule 18(e) provides for an automatic extension of the time limit imposed under				
25	subsection (b) if a person files a petition that is rejected for failure to comply with formal					
26	requir	ements.				
27						
28	Local	Rule 18. Petitions for Review of Chief Judge Dispositions Under Rule 11(c), (d), or (e).				
29						
30	(a)	<u>Receipt and Distribution of Petitions for Review</u> . Upon receipt of a petition for review				
31		filed within the time allowed and in proper form under these rules, the clerk of the court				
32		of appeals will promptly transmit such petition to the circuit executive, who will				
33 34		acknowledge receipt of the petition. The circuit executive will promptly make available to each member of the Judicial Council review panel, as set forth in Local Rule 19(a),				
34 35						
36	except for any member disqualified under Rule 25, copies of the materials identified in $P_{\rm rule} 18(a)(2)$ . The aircruit executive will also send the same materials, execution the					
30 37	Rule 18(c)(2). The circuit executive will also send the same materials, except for the ballot, to the chief judge of the circuit and each judge whose conduct is at issue, except					
38	the materials previously sent to a person may be omitted.					
39						
40	(b)	<b><u>Receipt of untimely petition</u></b> . The circuit executive will not accept a petition that is				
41		received after the deadline set forth in Rule 18(b).				
42						
43	(c)	<b><u>Receipt of timely petition not in proper form.</u></b> Upon receipt of a petition filed within				
44		the time allowed but not in proper form under these rules (including a document that is				
45		ambiguous about whether a petition for review is intended), the circuit executive will				
46		acknowledge receipt of the petition, call the petitioner's attention to the deficiencies, and				
47		give the petitioner the opportunity to correct the deficiencies within 21 days of the date of				
48		the circuit executive's letter or within the original deadline for filing the petition,				
49		whichever is later. If the deficiencies are corrected within the time allowed, the circuit				

executive will proceed in accordance with paragraph (a) of this rule. If the deficiencies are not corrected, the circuit executive will reject the petition.

#### 19. Judicial-Council Disposition of Petitions for Review

(a) Rights of Subject Judge. At any time after a complainant files a petition for review, the subject judge may file a written response with the circuit clerk. The clerk must promptly distribute copies of the response to each member of the judicial council or of the relevant panel, unless that member is disqualified under Rule 25. Copies must also be distributed to the chief judge, to the complainant, and to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. The subject judge must not otherwise communicate with individual council members about the matter. The subject judge must be given copies of any communications to the judicial council from the complainant.

## (b) Judicial-Council Action. After considering a petition for review and the materials before it, a judicial council may:

- (1) affirm the chief judge's disposition by denying the petition;
- (2) return the matter to the chief judge with directions to conduct a further inquiry under Rule 11(b) or to identify a complaint under Rule 5;
- (3) return the matter to the chief judge with directions to appoint a special committee under Rule 11(f); or
- (4) in exceptional circumstances, take other appropriate action.
- (c) Notice of Council Decision. Copies of the judicial council's order, together with any accompanying memorandum in support of the order or separate concurring or dissenting statements, must be given to the complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability.
- (d) Memorandum of Council Decision. If the council's order affirms the chief judge's disposition, a supporting memorandum must be prepared only if the judicial council concludes that there is a need to supplement the chief judge's explanation. A memorandum supporting a council order must not include the name of the complainant or the subject judge.
- 31(e)Review of Judicial-Council Decision. If the judicial council's decision is adverse to32the petitioner, and if no member of the council dissented on the ground that a33special committee should be appointed under Rule 11(f), the complainant must be34notified that he or she has no right to seek review of the decision. If there was a35dissent, the petitioner must be informed that he or she can file a petition for review36under Rule 21(b) solely on the issue of whether a special committee should be37appointed.
  - (f) Public Availability of Judicial-Council Decision. Materials related to the council's decision must be made public to the extent, at the time, and in the manner set forth in Rule 24.
- 42 Commentary on Rule 19

This Rule is largely adapted from the Act and is self-explanatory.

The council should ordinarily review the decision of the chief judge on the merits,
treating the petition for review for all practical purposes as an appeal. The judicial council may
respond to a petition by affirming the chief judge's order, remanding the matter, or, in exceptional
cases, taking other appropriate action.

#### Local Rule 19. Judicial-Council Disposition of Petitions for Review.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

**Review Panel.** Pursuant to Rule 18(a), the chief judge shall annually designate two (a) review panels to act for the Judicial Council on all petitions for review of the chief judge's dismissal order, except for those petitions referred to the full membership of the Judicial Council pursuant to Local Rule 19(b). Each review panel will serve alternating six-month terms and shall be comprised of five members of the Judicial Council, excluding the chief judge. In order of seniority, each circuit judge council member shall be alternately assigned to each of the two review panels. The district judge council members shall also be alternately assigned in order of seniority to each of the two panels so as to ensure that at least two of the members of each review panel shall be district judges.

In the event of the absence of a panel member, or the recusal or disqualification of a panel member under Rule 25 from ruling on a particular petition for review, the circuit executive will select a judge in order of seniority from the other review panel to replace the unavailable panel member. An unavailable circuit judge will be replaced by the next available circuit judge in rotation. An unavailable district judge will be replaced by the next available district judge in rotation. If necessary, an unavailable circuit judge may be replaced by a district judge and an unavailable district judge may be replaced by a circuit judge but in no event will the panel be composed of fewer than two district judges. In the event of a change in Judicial Council membership, the new council member shall take the place of his or her predecessor pending the review panels' annual reorganization.

26 **(b)** Mail Ballot. Each member of the review panel to whom a ballot was sent will return a signed ballot, or otherwise communicate the member's vote, to the circuit executive. The ballot form will provide opportunities to vote to: (1) affirm the chief judge's disposition, or (2) refer the petition to the full membership of the Judicial Council for disposition in accordance with Rule 19(b). The form will also provide an opportunity for members to indicate that they have disqualified themselves from participating in consideration of the petition.

> Upon the vote of any member of the review panel, the petition for review shall be referred to the full membership of the Judicial Council. Any member of the review panel who votes to refer the petition to the full council shall include a brief statement of the reasons for the referral with the ballot. The review panel may act only by vote of all five members. If, because of absence, recusal or disqualification, all five members of the panel cannot participate, the petition shall be referred to the full membership of the Judicial Council for disposition in accordance with Rule 19(b).

42 Upon referral of a petition to the full membership of the Judicial Council, the circuit 43 executive shall send the referring judge's ballot and brief statement to each member of the Judicial Council. The circuit executive will also make available the documents 44 45 specified in Rule 18(c) to council members not then serving on the reviewing panel, unless disqualified under Rule 25. Every voting member of the Judicial Council will 46 47 return a signed ballot, or otherwise communicate the member's vote, to the circuit 48 executive. 49

1	20.		l Consideration of Reports and Recommendations of
2		Special Commit	
3	(a)	Rights of Subjec	t Judge. Within 21 days after the filing of the report of a special
4			bject judge may send a written response to the members of the
5		0	The judge must also be given an opportunity to present argument
6			written or oral, as determined by the council. The judge must not
7	<b>(-</b> )		unicate with council members about the matter.
8	<b>(b)</b>	Judicial-Council	
9			nary actions. Subject to the judge's rights set forth in subsection (a),
10		0	al council may:
11		• •	miss the complaint because:
12 13		(i)	even if the claim is true, the claimed conduct is not conduct projudicial to the effective and expeditions administration of
13 14			prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts and does not indicate a mental or
14			physical disability resulting in inability to discharge the duties
16			of office;
17		<b>(ii</b> )	
18		(II)	procedural ruling;
19		(iii	
20		(	established; or
21		(iv	,
22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	under 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364.
23		(B) co	nclude the proceeding because appropriate corrective action has
24		be	en taken or intervening events have made the proceeding
25			necessary.
26			er the complaint to the Judicial Conference of the United States
27			th the council's recommendations for action.
28			te remedial action to ensure the effective and expeditious
29			ministration of the business of the courts, including:
30 31		(i)	censuring or reprimanding the subject judge, either by private
31		(ii)	communication or by public announcement; ordering that no new cases be assigned to the subject judge for
32		(II)	a limited, fixed period;
34		(iii	
35		(III	the district court to take action specified by the council,
36			including the initiation of removal proceedings under 28 U.S.C.
37			§ 631(i) or 42 U.S.C. § 300aa–12(c)(2);
38		(iv	
39			office under 28 U.S.C. § 152(e);
40		(v)	in the case of a circuit or district judge, requesting the judge to
41			retire voluntarily with the provision (if necessary) that
42			ordinary length-of-service requirements will be waived; and
43		(vi	
44			but does not do so, certifying the disability of the judge under
45			28 U.S.C. § 372(b) so that an additional judge may be
46			appointed.
47			te any combination of actions described in (b)(1)(A)–(D) of this
48 49			lle that is within its power. y actions. A judicial council must refer a complaint to the Judicial
49		(2) Mandator	y actions. A judicial council must refer a complaint to the Judicial

1	
1	Conference if the council determines that a circuit judge or district judge
2	may have engaged in conduct that:
3	(A) might constitute ground for impeachment; or
4	(B) in the interest of justice, is not amenable to resolution by the judicial
5	council.
6	(c) Inadequate Basis for Decision. If the judicial council finds that a special
7	committee's report, recommendations, and record provide an inadequate basis for
8	decision, it may return the matter to the committee for further investigation and a
9	new report, or it may conduct further investigation. If the judicial council decides
10	to conduct further investigation, the subject judge must be given adequate prior
11	notice in writing of that decision and of the general scope and purpose of the
12	additional investigation. The judicial council's conduct of the additional
13	investigation must generally accord with the procedures and powers set forth in
14	Rules 13 through 16 for the conduct of an investigation by a special committee.
15	(d) Council Vote. Council action must be taken by a majority of those members of the
16	council who are not disqualified. A decision to remove a bankruptcy judge from
17	office requires a majority vote of all the members of the council.
18	(e) Recommendation for Fee Reimbursement. If the complaint has been finally
19	dismissed or concluded under (b)(1)(A) or (B) of this Rule, and if the subject judge
20	so requests, the judicial council may recommend that the Director of the
21	Administrative Office of the United States Courts use funds appropriated to the
22	Judiciary to reimburse the judge for reasonable expenses incurred during the
23	investigation, when those expenses would not have been incurred but for the
24	requirements of the Act and these Rules. Reasonable expenses include attorneys'
25	fees and expenses related to a successful defense or prosecution of a proceeding
26	under Rule 21(a) or (b).
20 27	
	(f) Council Action. Council action must be by written order. Unless the council finds
28	that extraordinary reasons would make it contrary to the interests of justice, the
29	order must be accompanied by a memorandum setting forth the factual
30	determinations on which it is based and the reasons for the council action. The
31	order and the supporting memorandum must be provided to the complainant, the
32	subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and
33	Disability. The complainant and the subject judge must be notified of any right to
34	review of the judicial council's decision as provided in Rule 21(b).
35	J I ()
36	Commentary on Rule 20
37	Commentary on Raio 20
38	This Rule is largely adapted from the Illustrative Rules.
	This Rule is largely adapted from the musualive Rules.
39	
40	Rule 20(a) provides that within twenty-one days after the filing of the report of a special
41	committee, the subject judge may address a written response to all of the members of the judicial
42	council. The subject judge must also be given an opportunity to present oral argument to the
43	council, personally or through counsel. The subject judge may not otherwise communicate with
44	council members about the matter.
45	
46	Rule 20(c) provides that if the judicial council decides to conduct an additional
47	investigation, the subject judge must be given adequate prior notice in writing of that decision
48	and of the general scope and purpose of the additional investigation. The conduct of the
48 49	investigation will be generally in accordance with the procedures set forth in Rules 13 through 16
47	investigation will be generally in accordance with the procedures set form in Rules 15 through 10

for the conduct of an investigation by a special committee. However, if hearings are held, the
 council may limit testimony or the presentation of evidence to avoid unnecessary repetition of
 testimony and evidence before the special committee.

Rule 20(d) provides that council action must be taken by a majority of those members of
the council who are not disqualified, except that a decision to remove a bankruptcy judge from
office requires a majority of all the members of the council as required by 28 U.S.C. § 152(e).
However, it is inappropriate to apply a similar rule to the less severe actions that a judicial
council may take under the Act. If some members of the council are disqualified in the matter,
their disqualification should not be given the effect of a vote against council action.

With regard to Rule 20(e), the judicial council, on the request of the subject judge, may recommend to the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts that the subject judge be reimbursed for reasonable expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred. The judicial council has the authority to recommend such reimbursement where, after investigation by a special committee, the complaint has been finally dismissed or concluded under subsection (b)(1) (A) or (B) of this Rule. It is contemplated that such reimbursement may be provided for the successful prosecution or defense of a proceeding under Rule 21(a) or (b), in other words, one that results in a Rule 20(b)(1)(A) or (B) dismissal or conclusion. 

Rule 20(f) requires that council action normally be supported with a memorandum of factual determinations and reasons and that notice of the action be given to the complainant and the subject judge. Rule 20(f) also requires that the notification to the complainant and the subject judge include notice of any right to petition for review of the council's decision under Rule 21(b).

#### ARTICLE VI. REVIEW BY JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON CONDUCT AND DISABILITY

#### 21. Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability

(a) Review by Committee. The Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, consisting of seven members, considers and disposes of all petitions for review under (b) of this Rule, in conformity with the Committee's jurisdictional statement. Its disposition of petitions for review is ordinarily final. The Judicial Conference of the United States may, in its sole discretion, review any such Committee decision, but a complainant or subject judge does not have a right to this review.

## 36 (b) Reviewable Matters. 37 (1) Upon petition

- (1) Upon petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Committee for review of a judicial-council order entered in accordance with:
  - (A) Rule 20(b)(1)(A), (B), (D), or (E); or
  - (B) Rule 19(b)(1) or (4) if one or more members of the judicial council dissented from the order on the ground that a special committee should be appointed under Rule 11(f); in that event, the Committee's review will be limited to the issue of whether a special committee should be appointed.
- Upon Committee's initiative. At its initiative and in its sole discretion, the Committee may review any judicial-council order entered under Rule 19(b)(1) or (4), but only to determine whether a special committee should be appointed. Before undertaking the review, the Committee must invite that judicial council to explain why it believes the appointment of a special

- committee is unnecessary, unless the reasons are clearly stated in the judicial council's order denying the petition for review. If the Committee believes that it would benefit from a submission by the subject judge, it may issue an appropriate request. If the Committee determines that a special committee should be appointed, the Committee must issue a written decision giving its reasons.
- 7 (c) Committee Vote. Any member of the Committee from the same circuit as the 8 subject judge is disqualified from considering or voting on a petition for review. Committee decisions under (b) of this Rule must be by majority vote of the qualified 9 Committee members. If only six members are qualified to vote on a petition for 10 review, the decision must be made by a majority of a panel of five members drawn 11 12 from a randomly selected list that rotates after each decision by a panel drawn from 13 the list. The members who will determine the petition must be selected based on 14 committee membership as of the date on which the petition is received. Those 15 members selected to hear the petition should serve in that capacity until final disposition of the petition, whether or not their term of committee membership has 16 ended. If only four members are qualified to vote, the Chief Justice must appoint, if 17 18 available, an ex-member of the Committee or, if not, another United States judge to 19 consider the petition.
  - (d) Additional Investigation. Except in extraordinary circumstances, the Committee will not conduct an additional investigation. The Committee may return the matter to the judicial council with directions to undertake an additional investigation. If the Committee conducts an additional investigation, it will exercise the powers of the Judicial Conference under 28 U.S.C. § 331.
    - (e) Oral Argument; Personal Appearance. There is ordinarily no oral argument or personal appearance before the Committee. In its discretion, the Committee may permit written submissions from the complainant or subject judge.
    - (f) Committee Decisions. Committee decisions under this Rule must be transmitted promptly to the Judicial Conference of the United States. Other distribution will be by the Administrative Office at the direction of the Committee chair.
    - (g) Finality. All orders of the Judicial Conference or of the Committee (when the Conference does not exercise its power of review) are final.

Commentary on Rule 21

1 2

3

4

5

6

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32 33 34

35 36

37 38

39

40 41 This Rule is largely self-explanatory.

Rule 21(a) is intended to clarify that the delegation of power to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability to dispose of petitions does not preclude review of such dispositions by the Conference. However, there is no right to such review in any party.

42 Rules 21(b)(1)(B) and (b)(2) are intended to fill a jurisdictional gap as to review of dismissals or conclusions of complaints under Rule 19(b)(1) or (4). Where one or more members 43 of a judicial council reviewing a petition have dissented on the ground that a special committee 44 45 should have been appointed, the complainant or subject judge has the right to petition for review by the Committee but only as to that issue. Under Rule 21(b)(2), the Judicial Conference 46 47 Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability may review such a dismissal or conclusion in its 48 sole discretion, whether or not such a dissent occurred, and only as to the appointment of a 49 special committee. No party has a right to such review, and such review will be rare.

Rule 21(c) provides for review only by Committee members from circuits other than that 2 of the subject judge. To avoid tie votes, the Committee will decide petitions for review by 3 rotating panels of five when only six members are qualified. If only four members are qualified, 4 the Chief Justice must appoint an additional judge to consider that petition for review. 5

Under this Rule, all Committee decisions are final in that they are unreviewable unless the Judicial Conference, in its discretion, decides to review a decision. Committee decisions, however, do not necessarily constitute final action on a complaint for purposes of Rule 24.

22. Procedures for Review 10

- Filing a Petition for Review. A petition for review of a judicial-council decision may 11 **(a)** be filed by sending a brief written statement to the Judicial Conference Committee 12 on Judicial Conduct and Disability, addressed to: 13
  - Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability Attn: Office of General Counsel
  - Administrative Office of the United States Courts
  - **One Columbus Circle, NE** 
    - Washington, D.C. 20544

The Administrative Office will send a copy of the petition to the complainant or subject judge, as the case may be.

- 21 **(b)** Form and Contents of Petition for Review. No particular form is required. The petition must contain a short statement of the basic facts underlying the complaint, 22 the history of its consideration before the appropriate judicial council, a copy of the 23 24 judicial council's decision, and the grounds on which the petitioner seeks review. 25 The petition for review must specify the date and docket number of the judicialcouncil order for which review is sought. The petitioner may attach any documents 26 27 or correspondence arising in the course of the proceeding before the judicial council 28 or its special committee. A petition should not normally exceed 20 pages plus 29 necessary attachments.
  - Time. A petition must be submitted within 63 days of the date of the order for (c) which review is sought.
  - Copies. Seven copies of the petition for review must be submitted, at least one of (d) which must be signed by the petitioner or his or her attorney. If the petitioner submits a signed declaration of inability to pay the expense of duplicating the petition, the Administrative Office must accept the original petition and must reproduce copies at its expense.
- Action on Receipt of Petition for Review. The Administrative Office must 37 **(e)** acknowledge receipt of a petition for review submitted under this Rule, notify the 38 39 chair of the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, and 40 distribute the petition to the members of the Committee for their deliberation.
- 41 42

1

6

7 8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

30

31

32

33

34 35

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

25

26

27 28 Commentary on Rule 22

Rule 22 is self-explanatory.

### **ARTICLE VII. MISCELLANEOUS RULES**

#### 23. Confidentiality

- (a) General Rule. The consideration of a complaint by the chief judge, a special committee, the judicial council, or the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability is confidential. Information about this consideration must not be disclosed by any judge or employee of the judicial branch or by any person who records or transcribes testimony except as allowed by these Rules. In extraordinary circumstances, a chief judge may disclose the existence of a proceeding under these Rules when necessary to maintain public confidence in the federal judiciary's ability to redress misconduct or disability.
- (b) Files. All files related to complaints must be separately maintained with
   appropriate security precautions to ensure confidentiality.
- 18(c)Disclosure in Decisions. Except as otherwise provided in Rule 24, written decisions19of the chief judge, the judicial council, or the Judicial Conference Committee on20Judicial Conduct and Disability, and dissenting opinions or separate statements of21members of the council or Committee may contain information and exhibits that the22authors consider appropriate for inclusion, and the information and exhibits may be23made public.
  - (d) Availability to Judicial Conference. On request of the Judicial Conference or its Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, the circuit clerk must furnish any requested records related to a complaint. For auditing purposes, the circuit clerk must provide access to the Committee to records of proceedings under the Act at the site where the records are kept.
- (e) Availability to District Court. If the judicial council directs the initiation of
  proceedings for removal of a magistrate judge under Rule 20(b)(1)(D)(iii), the
  circuit clerk must provide to the chief judge of the district court copies of the report
  of the special committee and any other documents and records that were before the
  judicial council at the time of its decision. On request of the chief judge of the
  district court, the judicial council may authorize release to that chief judge of any
  other records relating to the investigation.
- (f) Impeachment Proceedings. If the Judicial Conference determines that
   consideration of impeachment may be warranted, it must transmit the record of all
   relevant proceedings to the Speaker of the House of Representatives.
- 39 (g) Subject Judge's Consent. If both the subject judge and the chief judge consent in
  40 writing, any materials from the files may be disclosed to any person. In any such
  41 disclosure, the chief judge may require that the identity of the complainant, or of
  42 witnesses in an investigation conducted by a chief judge, a special committee, or the
  43 judicial council, not be revealed.
- (h) Disclosure in Special Circumstances. The Judicial Conference, its Committee on
   Judicial Conduct and Disability, or a judicial council may authorize disclosure of
   information about the consideration of a complaint, including the papers,
   documents, and transcripts relating to the investigation, to the extent that disclosure
   is justified by special circumstances and is not prohibited by the Act. Disclosure
   may be made to judicial researchers engaged in the study or evaluation of

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	t k P c	experience under the Act and related modes of judicial discipline, but only where he study or evaluation has been specifically approved by the Judicial Conference or by the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. Appropriate steps must be taken to protect the identities of the subject judge, the complainant, and witnesses from public disclosure. Other appropriate safeguards to protect against the dissemination of confidential information may be imposed. Disclosure of Identity by Subject Judge. Nothing in this Rule precludes the subject	
8	j	udge from acknowledging that he or she is the judge referred to in documents made	
9	-	public under Rule 24.	
10		Assistance and Consultation. Nothing in this Rule precludes the chief judge or	
11 12		udicial council acting on a complaint filed under the Act from seeking the help of qualified staff or from consulting other judges who may be helpful in the disposition	
12		full of the complaint.	
13	U	or the complaint.	
15	Comme	ntary on Rule 23	
16	00111110		
17	F	Rule 23 was adapted from the Illustrative Rules.	
18		1	
19	]	The Act applies a rule of confidentiality to "papers, documents, and records of	
20	proceedi	ings related to investigations conducted under this chapter" and states that they may not	
21	be disclosed "by any person in any proceeding," with enumerated exceptions. 28 U.S.C.		
22	§ 360(a). Three questions arise: Who is bound by the confidentiality rule, what proceedings are		
23		to the rule, and who is within the circle of people who may have access to information	
24	without	breaching the rule?	
25	τ.		
26		With regard to the first question, Rule 23(a) provides that judges, employees of the	
27 28	judicial branch, and those persons involved in recording proceedings and preparing transcripts		
28 29	are obliged to respect the confidentiality requirement. This of course includes subject judges who do not consent to identification under Rule 23(i).		
30	who do l	not consent to identification under Kule 23(1).	
31	I.	With regard to the second question, Rule 23(a) applies the rule of confidentiality broadly	
32	to consideration of a complaint at any stage.		
33			
34	V	With regard to the third question, there is no barrier of confidentiality among a chief	
35		idicial council, the Judicial Conference, and the Judicial Conference Committee on	
36	Judicial	Conduct and Disability. Each may have access to any of the confidential records for use	
37		consideration of a referred matter, a petition for review, or monitoring the administration	
38		ct. A district court may have similar access if the judicial council orders the district court	
39	to initiat	e proceedings to remove a magistrate judge from office, and Rule 23(e) so provides.	
40	_		
41	I	n extraordinary circumstances, a chief judge may disclose the existence of a proceeding	
42		ese Rules. The disclosure of such information in high-visibility or controversial cases is	
43		are the public that the federal judiciary is capable of redressing judicial misconduct or	
44 45	disability. Moreover, the confidentiality requirement does not prevent the chief judge from		
45 46		nicat[ing] orally or in writing with [persons] who may have knowledge of the	
46 47	matter,"	as part of a limited inquiry conducted by the chief judge under Rule 11(b).	
47	L	Rule 23 recognizes that there must be some exceptions to the Act's confidentiality	
48 49		nent. For example, the Act requires that certain orders and the reasons for them must be	
12	requiren	iente i en enterpre, une rectrequires una cortain orders una une reasons for anem must be	

made public. 28 U.S.C. § 360(b). Rule 23(c) makes it explicit that memoranda supporting chief judge and council orders, as well as dissenting opinions and separate statements, may contain references to information that would otherwise be confidential and that such information may be made public. However, subsection (c) is subject to Rule 24(a) which provides the general rule regarding the public availability of decisions. For example, the name of a subject judge cannot be made public in a decision if disclosure of the name is prohibited by that Rule.

8 The Act makes clear that there is a barrier of confidentiality between the judicial branch 9 and the legislative. It provides that material may be disclosed to Congress only if it is believed 10 necessary to an impeachment investigation or trial of a judge. 28 U.S.C. § 360(a)(2). 11 Accordingly, Section 355(b) of the Act requires the Judicial Conference to transmit the record of 12 the proceeding to the House of Representatives if the Conference believes that impeachment of a 13 subject judge may be appropriate. Rule 23(f) implements this requirement.

14

29

46

15 The Act provides that confidential materials may be disclosed if authorized in writing by 16 the subject judge and by the chief judge. 28 U.S.C. § 360(a)(3). Rule 23(g) implements this 17 requirement. Once the subject judge has consented to the disclosure of confidential materials 18 related to a complaint, the chief judge ordinarily will refuse consent only to the extent necessary 19 to protect the confidentiality interests of the complainant or of witnesses who have testified 20 ininvestigatory proceedings or who have provided information in response to a limited inquiry 21 undertaken pursuant to Rule 11. It will generally be necessary, therefore, for the chief judge to 22 require that the identities of the complainant or of such witnesses, as well as any identifying 23 information, be shielded in any materials disclosed, except insofar as the chief judge has secured 24 the consent of the complainant or of a particular witness to disclosure, or there is a demonstrated 25 need for disclosure of the information that, in the judgment of the chief judge, outweighs the 26 confidentiality interest of the complainant or of a particular witness (as may be the case where 27 the complainant is delusional or where the complainant or a particular witness has already 28 demonstrated a lack of concern about maintaining the confidentiality of the proceedings).

Rule 23(h) permits disclosure of additional information in circumstances not enumerated.
 For example, disclosure may be appropriate to permit a prosecution for perjury based on
 testimony given before a special committee. Another example might involve evidence of
 criminal conduct by a judge discovered by a special committee.

35 Subsection (h) also permits the authorization of disclosure of information about the 36 consideration of a complaint, including the papers, documents, and transcripts relating to the 37 investigation, to judicial researchers engaged in the study or evaluation of experience under the 38 Act and related modes of judicial discipline. The Rule envisions disclosure of information from 39 the official record of complaint proceedings to a limited category of persons for appropriately 40 authorized research purposes only, and with appropriate safeguards to protect individual 41 identities in any published research results that ensue. In authorizing disclosure, the judicial 42 council may refuse to release particular materials when such release would be contrary to the 43 interests of justice, or that constitute purely internal communications. The Rule does not 44 envision disclosure of purely internal communications between judges and their colleagues and 45 staff.

Under Rule 23(j), chief judges and judicial councils may seek staff assistance or consult
 with other judges who may be helpful in the process of complaint disposition; the confidentiality
 requirement does not preclude this. The chief judge, for example, may properly seek the advice

and assistance of another judge who the chief judge deems to be in the best position to
communicate with the subject judge in an attempt to bring about corrective action. As another
example, a new chief judge may wish to confer with a predecessor to learn how similar
complaints have been handled. In consulting with other judges, of course, the chief judge should
disclose information regarding the complaint only to the extent the chief judge deems necessary
under the circumstances.

## 24. Public Availability of Decisions

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- (a) General Rule; Specific Cases. When final action has been taken on a complaint and it is no longer subject to review, all orders entered by the chief judge and judicial council, including any supporting memoranda and any dissenting opinions or separate statements by members of the judicial council, must be made public, with the following exceptions:
  - (1) if the complaint is finally dismissed under Rule 11(c) without the appointment of a special committee, or if it is concluded under Rule 11(d) because of voluntary corrective action, the publicly available materials must not disclose the name of the subject judge without his or her consent.
  - (2) if the complaint is concluded because of intervening events, or dismissed at any time after a special committee is appointed, the judicial council must determine whether the name of the subject judge should be disclosed.
  - (3) if the complaint is finally disposed of by a privately communicated censure or reprimand, the publicly available materials must not disclose either the name of the subject judge or the text of the reprimand.
  - (4) if the complaint is finally disposed of under Rule 20(b)(1)(D) by any action other than private censure or reprimand, the text of the dispositive order must be included in the materials made public, and the name of the subject judge must be disclosed.
  - (5) the name of the complainant must not be disclosed in materials made public under this Rule unless the chief judge orders disclosure.
- Manner of Making Public. The orders described in (a) must be made public by 30 **(b)** placing them in a publicly accessible file in the office of the circuit clerk or by 31 32 placing the orders on the court's public website. If the orders appear to have 33 precedential value, the chief judge may cause them to be published. In addition, the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability will make 34 35 available on the Federal Judiciary's website, www.uscourts.gov, selected illustrative orders described in paragraph (a), appropriately redacted, to provide additional 36 37 information to the public on how complaints are addressed under the Act.
- (c) Orders of Judicial Conference Committee. Orders of this Committee constituting
   final action in a complaint proceeding arising from a particular circuit will be made
   available to the public in the office of the clerk of the relevant court of appeals. The
   Committee will also make such orders available on the Federal Judiciary's website,
   www.uscourts.gov. When authorized by the Committee, other orders related to
   complaint proceedings will similarly be made available.
- 44(d)Complaints Referred to the Judicial Conference of the United States. If a complaint45is referred to the Judicial Conference under Rule 20(b)(1)(C) or 20(b)(2), materials46relating to the complaint will be made public only if ordered by the Judicial47Conference.
  - 37

5

6

40

47

#### Commentary on Rule 24

Rule 24 is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and the recommendations of the Breyer Committee.

7 The Act requires the circuits to make available only written orders of a judicial council or 8 the Judicial Conference imposing some form of sanction. 28 U.S.C. § 360(b). The Judicial Conference, however, has long recognized the desirability of public availability of a broader 9 range of orders and other materials. In 1994, the Judicial Conference "urge[d] all circuits and 10 courts covered by the Act to submit to the West Publishing Company, for publication in Federal 11 12 Reporter 3d, and to Lexis all orders issued pursuant to [the Act] that are deemed by the issuing circuit or court to have significant precedential value to other circuits and courts covered by the 13 14 Act." Report of the Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, Mar. 1994, at 15 28. Following this recommendation, the 2000 revision of the Illustrative Rules contained a 16 public availability provision very similar to Rule 24. In 2002, the Judicial Conference again 17 voted to encourage the circuits "to submit non-routine public orders disposing of complaints of 18 judicial misconduct or disability for publication by on-line and print services." Report of the 19 Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, Sept. 2002, at 58. The Brever 20 Committee Report further emphasized that "[p]osting such orders on the judicial branch's public 21 website would not only benefit judges directly, it would also encourage scholarly commentary 22 and analysis of the orders." Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 216. With these considerations in mind, Rule 24 provides for public availability of a wide range of materials. 23 24

25 Rule 24 provides for public availability of orders of the chief judge, the judicial council, 26 and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability and the texts of any 27 memoranda supporting their orders, together with any dissenting opinions or separate statements 28 by members of the judicial council. However, these orders and memoranda are to be made 29 public only when final action on the complaint has been taken and any right of review has been 30 exhausted. The provision that decisions will be made public only after final action has been 31 taken is designed in part to avoid public disclosure of the existence of pending proceedings. 32 Whether the name of the subject judge is disclosed will then depend on the nature of the final 33 action. If the final action is an order predicated on a finding of misconduct or disability (other 34 than a privately communicated censure or reprimand) the name of the judge must be made 35 public. If the final action is dismissal of the complaint, the name of the subject judge must not be 36 disclosed. Rule 24(a)(1) provides that where a proceeding is concluded under Rule 11(d) by the chief judge on the basis of voluntary corrective action, the name of the subject judge must not be 37 38 disclosed. Shielding the name of the subject judge in this circumstance should encourage informal disposition. 39

If a complaint is dismissed as moot, or because intervening events have made action on the complaint unnecessary, after appointment of a special committee, Rule 24(a)(2) allows the judicial council to determine whether the subject judge will be identified. In such a case, no final decision has been rendered on the merits, but it may be in the public interest -- particularly if a judicial officer resigns in the course of an investigation -- to make the identity of the judge known.

Once a special committee has been appointed, and a proceeding is concluded by the full
 council on the basis of a remedial order of the council, Rule 24(a)(4) provides for disclosure of
 the name of the subject judge.

52 Finally, Rule 24(a)(5) provides that the identity of the complainant will be disclosed only

if the chief judge so orders. Identifying the complainant when the subject judge is not identified
would increase the likelihood that the identity of the subject judge would become publicly
known, thus circumventing the policy of nondisclosure. It may not always be practicable to
shield the complainant's identity while making public disclosure of the judicial council's order
and supporting memoranda; in some circumstances, moreover, the complainant may consent to
public identification.

## 25. Disqualification

7 8

24

25

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

- 9 (a) General Rule. Any judge is disqualified from participating in any proceeding under 10 these Rules if the judge, in his or her discretion, concludes that circumstances 11 warrant disqualification. If the complaint is filed by a judge, that judge is 12 disqualified from participating in any consideration of the complaint except to the 13 extent that these Rules provide for a complainant's participation. A chief judge who 14 has identified a complaint under Rule 5 is not automatically disqualified from 15 considering the complaint.
- (b) Subject Judge. A subject judge is disqualified from considering the complaint
   except to the extent that these Rules provide for participation by a subject judge.
- 18 (c) Chief Judge Not Disqualified from Considering a Petition for Review of a Chief
  19 Judge's Order. If a petition for review of a chief judge's order entered under Rule
  20 11(c), (d), or (e) is filed with the judicial council in accordance with Rule 18, the
  21 chief judge is not disqualified from participating in the council's consideration of
  22 the petition.
  23 (d) Member of Special Committee Not Disgualified. A member of the judicial council
  - (d) Member of Special Committee Not Disqualified. A member of the judicial council who serves on a special committee, including the chief judge, is not disqualified from participating in council consideration of the committee's report.
- Subject Judge's Disgualification After Appointment of a Special Committee. Upon 26 **(e)** 27 appointment of a special committee, the subject judge is automatically disqualified 28 from participating in any proceeding arising under the Act or these Rules as a 29 member of any special committee, the judicial council of the circuit, the Judicial 30 Conference of the United States, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial 31 Conduct and Disability. The disqualification continues until all proceedings on the 32 complaint against the subject judge are finally terminated with no further right of 33 review.
- 34 **(f)** Substitute for Disgualified Chief Judge. If the chief judge is disgualified from 35 participating in consideration of the complaint, the duties and responsibilities of the chief judge under these Rules must be assigned to the most-senior active circuit 36 judge not disqualified. If all circuit judges in regular active service are disqualified, 37 the judicial council may determine whether to request a transfer under Rule 26, or, 38 in the interest of sound judicial administration, to permit the chief judge to dispose 39 40 of the complaint on the merits. Members of the judicial council who are named in the complaint may participate in this determination if necessary to obtain a quorum 41 of the judicial council. 42
  - (g) Judicial-Council Action When Multiple Judges Are Disqualified. Notwithstanding any other provision in these Rules to the contrary,
    - (1) a member of the judicial council who is a subject judge may participate in its disposition if:
      - (A) participation by one or more subject judges is necessary to obtain a quorum of the judicial council;
    - (B) the judicial council finds that the lack of a quorum is due to the naming of one or more judges in the complaint for the purpose of disqualifying that judge or judges, or to the naming of one or more

$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\end{array} $	<ul> <li>judges based on their participation in a decision excluded from the definition of misconduct under Rule 3(h)(3); and</li> <li>(C) the judicial council votes that it is necessary, appropriate, and in the interest of sound judicial administration that one or more subject judges be eligible to act.</li> <li>(2) otherwise disqualified members may participate in votes taken under (g)(1)(B) and (g)(1)(C).</li> <li>(h) Disqualification of Members of the Judicial Conference Committee. No member of the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability is disqualified from participating in any proceeding under the Act or these Rules because of consultations with a chief judge, a member of a special committee, or a member of a judicial council about the interpretation or application of the Act or these Rules, unless the member believes that the consultation would prevent fairminded participation.</li> </ul>
16	Commentary on Rule 25
17 18 19	Rule 25 is adapted from the Illustrative Rules.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Subsection (a) provides the general rule for disqualification. Of course, a judge is not disqualified simply because the subject judge is on the same court. However, this subsection recognizes that there may be cases in which an appearance of bias or prejudice is created by circumstances other than an association with the subject judge as a colleague. For example, a judge may have a familial relationship with a complainant or subject judge. When such circumstances exist, a judge may, in his or her discretion, conclude that disqualification is warranted.
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Subsection (e) makes it clear that the disqualification of the subject judge relates only to the subject judge's participation in any proceeding arising under the Act or these Rules as a member of a special committee, judicial council, Judicial Conference, or the Judicial Conference Committee. The Illustrative Rule, based on Section 359(a) of the Act, is ambiguous and could be read to disqualify a subject judge from service of any kind on each of the bodies mentioned. This is undoubtedly not the intent of the Act; such a disqualification would be anomalous in light of the Act's allowing a subject judge to continue to decide cases and to continue to exercise the powers of chief circuit or district judge. It would also create a substantial deterrence to the appointment of special committees, particularly where a special committee is needed solely because the chief judge may not decide matters of credibility in his or her review under Rule 11.
39 40 41 42 43	While a subject judge is barred by Rule 25(b) from participating in the disposition of the complaint in which he or she is named, Rule 25(e) recognizes that participation in proceedings arising under the Act or these Rules by a judge who is the subject of a special committee investigation may lead to an appearance of self-interest in creating substantive and procedural precedents governing such proceedings; Rule 25(e) bars such participation.
44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	Under the Act, a complaint against the chief judge is to be handled by "that circuit judge in regular active service next senior in date of commission." 28 U.S.C. § 351(c). Rule 25(f) provides that seniority among judges other than the chief judge is to be determined by date of commission, with the result that complaints against the chief judge may be routed to a former chief judge or other judge who was appointed earlier than the chief judge. The Rules do not purport to prescribe who is to preside over meetings of the judicial council. Consequently, where the presiding member of the judicial council is disqualified from participating under these Rules, the order of precedence prescribed by Rule 25(f) for performing "the duties and responsibilities

of the chief circuit judge under these Rules" does not apply to determine the acting presiding
member of the judicial council. That is a matter left to the internal rules or operating practices of
each judicial council. In most cases the most senior active circuit judge who is a member of the
judicial council and who is not disqualified will preside.

6 Sometimes a single complaint is filed against a large group of judges. If the normal 7 disqualification rules are observed in such a case, no court of appeals judge can serve as acting 8 chief judge of the circuit, and the judicial council will be without appellate members. Where the 9 complaint is against all circuit and district judges, under normal rules no member of the judicial 10 council can perform the duties assigned to the council under the statute.

11

22

37

12 A similar problem is created by successive complaints arising out of the same underlying 13 grievance. For example, a complainant files a complaint against a district judge based on alleged 14 misconduct, and the complaint is dismissed by the chief judge under the statute. The 15 complainant may then file a complaint against the chief judge for dismissing the first complaint. 16 and when that complaint is dismissed by the next senior judge, still a third complaint may be 17 filed. The threat is that the complainant will bump down the seniority ladder until, once again, 18 there is no member of the court of appeals who can serve as acting chief judge for the purpose of 19 the next complaint. Similarly, complaints involving the merits of litigation may involve a series 20 of decisions in which many judges participated or in which a rehearing en banc was denied by the 21 court of appeals, and the complaint may name a majority of the judicial council as subject judges.

23 In recognition that these multiple-judge complaints are virtually always meritless, the 24 judicial council is given discretion to determine: (1) whether it is necessary, appropriate, and in 25 the interest of sound judicial administration to permit the chief judge to dispose of a complaint 26 where it would otherwise be impossible for any active circuit judge in the circuit to act, and 27 (2) whether it is necessary, appropriate, and in the interest of sound judicial administration, after 28 appropriate findings as to need and justification are made, to permit subject judges of the judicial 29 council to participate in the disposition of a petition for review where it would otherwise be 30 impossible to obtain a quorum. 31

Applying a rule of necessity in these situations is consistent with the appearance of justice. <u>See, e.g., In re Complaint of Doe</u>, 2 F.3d 308 (8th Cir. Jud. Council 1993) (invoking the rule of necessity); <u>In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, No. 91-80464 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1992) (same). There is no unfairness in permitting the chief judge to dispose of a patently insubstantial complaint that names all active circuit judges in the circuit.

Similarly, there is no unfairness in permitting subject judges, in these circumstances, to participate in the review of a chief judge's dismissal of an insubstantial complaint. The remaining option is to assign the matter to another body. Among other alternatives, the council may request a transfer of the petition under Rule 26. Given the administrative inconvenience and delay involved in these alternatives, it is desirable to request a transfer only if the judicial council determines that the petition is substantial enough to warrant such action.

In the unlikely event that a quorum of the judicial council cannot be obtained to consider
the report of a special committee, it would normally be necessary to request a transfer under Rule
26.

Rule 25(h) recognizes that the jurisdictional statement of the Judicial Conference
 Committee contemplates consultation between members of the Committee and judicial
 participants in proceedings under the Act and these Rules. Such consultation should not
 automatically preclude participation by a member in that proceeding.

1	26. T	ransfer to Another Judicial Council		
2	In exceptional circumstances, a chief judge or a judicial council may ask the Chief Justice			
3		sfer a proceeding based on a complaint identified under Rule 5 or filed under Rule 6		
4		judicial council of another circuit. The request for a transfer may be made at any		
5		of the proceeding before a reference to the Judicial Conference under Rule		
6	0	1)(C) or 20(b)(2) or a petition for review is filed under Rule 22. Upon receiving sucha		
7		st, the Chief Justice may refuse the request or select the transferee judicial council,		
8	-	may then exercise the powers of a judicial council under these Rules.		
9	***	may then exercise the powers of a Jaurean counter ander these realest		
10	Comm	entary on Rule 26		
11				
12		Rule 26 is new; it implements the Breyer Committee's recommended use of transfers.		
13	Brever	rer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 214-15.		
14	2			
15		Rule 26 authorizes the transfer of a complaint proceeding to another judicial council		
16	selecte	ected by the Chief Justice. Such transfers may be appropriate, for example, in the case of a		
17		ious complaint where there are multiple disqualifications among the original council, where		
18		the issues are highly visible and a local disposition may weaken public confidence in the process,		
19	where internal tensions arising in the council as a result of the complaint render disposition by a			
20	less involved council appropriate, or where a complaint calls into question policies or governance			
21		of the home court of appeals. The power to effect a transfer is lodged in the Chief Justice to		
22		avoid disputes in a council over where to transfer a sensitive matter and to ensure that the		
22	transferee council accepts the matter.			
23	uansie			
24		Upon receipt of a transferred proceeding, the transferee council shall determine the proper		
26	stage a	t which to begin consideration of the complaint for example, reference to the transferee		
20	chief judge, appointment of a special committee, etc.			
28	cilier ji	duge, appointment of a special commute, etc.		
	27 V	Vithdrawal of Complaints and Datitions for Daviou		
29		Vithdrawal of Complaints and Petitions for Review		
30	<b>(a)</b>	Complaint Pending Before Chief Judge. With the chief judge's consent, a		
31		complainant may withdraw a complaint that is before the chief judge for a decision		
32		under Rule 11. The withdrawal of a complaint will not prevent a chief judge from		
33		identifying or having to identify a complaint under Rule 5 based on the withdrawn		
34		complaint.		
35	(b)	Complaint Pending before Special Committee or Judicial Council. After a		
36		complaint has been referred to a special committee for investigation and before the		
37		committee files its report, the complainant may withdraw the complaint only with		
38		the consent of both the subject judge and either the special committee or the judicial		
39		council.		
40	(c)	Petition for Review. A petition for review addressed to a judicial council under		
41		Rule 18, or the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability		
42		under Rule 22 may be withdrawn if no action on the petition has been taken.		
43				
44	Comm	entary on Rule 27		
45				
46		Rule 27 is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and treats the complaint proceeding, once		
47	begun,	as a matter of public business rather than as the property of the complainant.		
48		lingly, the chief judge or the judicial council remains responsible for addressing any		
49		aint under the Act, even a complaint that has been formally withdrawn by the complainant.		
50	1			
51		Under subsection 27(a), a complaint pending before the chief judge may be withdrawn if		

- 1 the chief judge consents. Where the complaint clearly lacked merit, the chief judge may
- accordingly be saved the burden of preparing a formal order and supporting memorandum.
  However, the chief judge may, or be obligated under Rule 5, to identify a complaint based on
- 4 allegations in a withdrawn complaint.
- 5 6 If the chief judge appoints a special committee, Rule 27(b) provides that the complaint 7 may be withdrawn only with the consent of both the body before which it is pending (the special 8 committee or the judicial council) and the subject judge. Once a complaint has reached the stage of appointment of a special committee, a resolution of the issues may be necessary to preserve 9 public confidence. Moreover, the subject judge is given the right to insist that the matter be 10 resolved on the merits, thereby eliminating any ambiguity that might remain if the proceeding 11 12 were terminated by withdrawal of the complaint. 13

With regard to all petitions for review, Rule 27(c) grants the petitioner unrestricted authority to withdraw the petition. It is thought that the public's interest in the proceeding is adequately protected, because there will necessarily have been a decision by the chief judge and often by the judicial council as well in such a case.

18 19

# 28. Availability of Rules and Forms

These Rules and copies of the complaint form as provided in Rule 6(a) must be available without charge in the office of the clerk of each court of appeals, district court, bankruptcy court, or other federal court whose judges are subject to the Act. Each court must also make these Rules and the complaint form available on the court's website, or provide an Internet link to the Rules and complaint form that are available on the appropriate court of appeals' website.

## 27 **29. Effective Date**

28 These Rules will become effective 30 days after promulgation by the Judicial Conference of 29 the United States.